Language: English 🔻

Our website uses cookies. By continuing to browse the site you are agreeing to our use of cookies. For more details about cookies and how to manage them, see our <u>Cookie Policy</u>





Type your keyword...

Q,

Back in BlackEnergy *: 2014 Targeted Attacks in Ukraine and Poland

BY ROBERT LIPOVSKY POSTED 22 SEP 2014 - 10:19PM





A large number of state organizations and private businesses from various industry sectors in Ukraine and Poland have been targeted in recent attacks using malware designed for network discovery and remote code execution, and for collecting data from targets' hard drives. What makes these attacks interesting – aside from the tense current geopolitical situation in the region – is that they were carried out using new versions of BlackEnergy, a malware family with a rich history, and also the various distribution mechanisms

used to get the malware onto the victims' computers.

The findings of our research will be presented this week at the Virus Bulletin conference in Seattle.

BlackEnergy is a trojan that has undergone significant functional changes since it was **Tirst publicly** analyzed by Arbor Networks in 2007. Originally conceived as a relatively simple DDoS trojan it has evolved into a sophisticated piece of malware with a modular architecture, making it a suitable tool for sending spam and for online bank fraud, as well as for targeted attacks. BlackEnergy version 2, which featured rootkit techniques, was documented by Dell SecureWorks in 2010. The targeted attacks recently discovered are proof that the trojan is still alive and kicking in 2014.

The latest variants of BlackEnergy are dated September 2014.

BlackEnergy Lite: Less is more?

While the 'regular' BlackEnergy trojan is still actively circulating in the wild, we have discovered variants of the malware family, which are easily distinguishable from their older brothers.

We nicknamed the BlackEnergy modifications – first spotted in the beginning of 2014 – as BlackEnergy Lite, due to the absence of a kernel-mode driver component, less support for plug-ins, and an overall 'lighter' footprint.

Interestingly, the malware was named similarly by the malware writers themselves, as illustrated by the export directory of an early version of the main DLL:

```
.rdata:100096A0 ; Export directory for main_light.dll
.rdata:100096A0 ;
.rdata:100096A0
                                dd Ø
                                                         ; Characteristics
                                                         ; TimeDateStamp: Fri Oct 04 11:47:19 2013
.rdata:100096A4
                                dd 524EAAC7h
.rdata:100096A8
                                dw Ø
                                                         ; MajorVersion
                                                         ; MinorVersion
.rdata:100096AA
                                dw 0
                                dd rva aMain_light_dll ; Name
.rdata:100096AC
.rdata:100096B0
                                dd 1
                                                          Base
                                dd 19h
.rdata:100096B4
                                                           NumberOfFunctions
                                                         ; NumberOfNames
.rdata:100096B8
                                dd 19h
                                                        ; AddressOfFunctions
                                dd rva off_100096C8
.rdata:100096BC
                                                         ; AddressOfNames
                                dd rva off 1000972C
.rdata:100096C0
                                dd rva word_10009790
                                                         ; AddressOfNameOrdinals
.rdata:100096C4
.rdata:100096C8
.rdata:100096C8
                ; Export Address Table for main_light.dll
.rdata:100096C8
.rdata:100096C8 off_100096C8
                                dd rva ConfAllocGetTextByNameA, rva ConfAllocGetTextByNameW
.rdata:100096C8
                                                         ; DATA XREF: .rdata:100096BCTo
```

Note that even the 'regular' BlackEnergy samples detected this year have evolved in such a way that the kernel mode driver is only used for injecting the payload into user mode processes and no longer contains rootkit functionality for hiding objects in the system. The light versions go a step further by not using a driver at all. Instead, the main DLL is loaded using a more 'polite' and 'official' technique – by simply[] loading it via rundl132.exe. This evolution was previously mentioned in blog posts by F-Secure.

The omission of the kernel mode driver may appear as a step back in terms of malware complexity: however it is a growing trend in the malware landscape nowadays. The threats that were among the highest-ranked malware in terms of technical sophistication (*e.g.*, rootkits and bootkits, such as Rustock, Olmarik/TDL4, Rovnix, and others) a few years back are no longer as common.

There could be several reasons behind this trend, ranging from the technical obstacles that rootkit developers now face, like Windows system driver signing requirements, UEFI Secure Boot – which will be covered by Eugene Rodionov, Aleks Matrosov and David Harley in their VB2014 presentation Bootkits: past, present & future – to the simple fact that it is difficult and expensive to develop such malware. Also, any bugs in the code have a bad habit of blue-screening the system. All the while, possibly even raising suspicion of the presence of malicious code rather than hiding it in the system.

There are several other differences that separate BlackEnergy Lite from the 'big' BlackEnergy, in the plugin framework, plugin storage, configuration format, and so forth.

BlackEnergy campaigns in 2014

The BlackEnergy malware family has served many purposes throughout its history, including DDoS attacks, spam distribution, and bank fraud. The malware variants that we have tracked in 2014 – both of BlackEnergy and of BlackEnergy Lite – have been used in targeted attacks. This fact is demonstrated both by the plugins used and the nature and targets of the spreading campaigns.

The purpose of these plugins was mainly for network discovery and remote code execution and for collecting data off the targets' hard drives.

We have observed over a hundred individual victims of these campaigns during our monitoring of the botnets. Approximately half of these victims are situated in Ukraine and half in Poland, and include a number of state organizations, various businesses, as well as targets which we were unable to identify.

The spreading campaigns that we have observed have used either technical infection methods through exploitation of software vulnerabilities, social engineering through spear-phishing emails and decoy documents, or a combination of both.

In April we discovered a document exploiting the CVE-2014-1761 vulnerability in Microsoft Word. This exploit has also been used in other attacks, including MiniDuke.

In this case the successful execution of the exploit shellcode resulted in dropping two files to the temporary directory: the malicious payload named "WinWord.exe" and a decoy document named "Russian ambassadors to conquer world.doc". These files were then opened using the kernel32.WinExec function. The WinWord.exe payload served to extract and execute the BlackEnergy Lite dropper. The decoy document contained controversial but obviously bogus text as shown below:



At the same time, another document appeared also exploiting CVE-2014-1761. The text was less controversial than the previous example, but still related to foreign relations. The subject was the GlobSEC forum held in Bratislava this year.



One month later, in May, we spotted another file crafted to install BlackEnergy Lite. This time, however, no

exploit was used – the file, named "список паролів ," which means "password list" in Ukrainian, was simply an executable file with a Microsoft Word icon.



Despite being an executable, this file also contained an embedded decoy document with – you guessed it□ – a list of passwords. This case was also described by F-Secure in their blog post.

* http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Back_in_Black

1	123456
2	admin
3	password
4	test
5	123
6	123456789
7	12345678
8	1234
9	qwerty
10	asdf
11	111111
12	1234567
13	123123
14	windows
15	123qwe
16	1234567890
17	password123
18	123321
19	asdf123
20	ZXCV
21	zxcv123
22	666666
23	654321
24	pass
25	1q2w3e4r
26	112233
27	1q2w3e

28	zxcvbnm
29	abcd1234
30	asdasd
31	555555
32	999999
33	qazwsx
34	123654
35	q1w2e3
36	123123123
37	guest
38	guest123
39	user
40	user123
41	121212
42	qwert
43	1qaz2wsx
44	qwerty123
45	987654321
46	pass123
47	trewq
49	trewq321
49	trewq1234
50	2014

More recent campaigns for spreading BlackEnergy Lite were active in August and even currently in September, according to ESET LiveGrid® threat telemetry system. In one case, specially crafted PowerPoint documents were used, while other attempts to disseminate the malware appear to have been using unidentified Java vulnerabilities, or the remote control software Team Viewer.

More details about these cases will be given on Thursday at the Virus Bulletin conference and published afterwards.



You might also be interested in:



Nemucod malware spreads ransomware Teslacrypt around the world



Police arrest couple suspected of running malware encryption service



New Dridex campaign achieves high infection ratio in European countries



Fighting talk from Great Britain as it says it will hit back against internet attacks





Sign up to our newsletter

The latest security news direct to your inbox

Email...

Submit

About Us

Contact Us

Home

How To

Expert Opinion

Videos

Papers

Our Experts

Virus Radar

Sitemap

Privacy

Legal Information

COPYRIGHT © 2016 ESET, ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.



VIRUS RADAR

