

# New Zero-Day Exploit targeting Internet Explorer Versions 9 through 11 Identified in Targeted Attacks

## Summary

FireEye Research Labs identified a new Internet Explorer (IE) zero-day exploit used in targeted attacks. The vulnerability affects IE6 through IE11, but the attack is targeting IE9 through IE11. This zero-day bypasses both ASLR and DEP. Microsoft has assigned CVE-2014-1776 to the vulnerability and released [security advisory](#) to track this issue.

Threat actors are actively using this exploit in an ongoing campaign which we have named “Operation Clandestine Fox.” However, for many reasons, we will not provide campaign details. But we believe this is a significant zero day as the vulnerable versions represent about a quarter of the total browser market. We recommend applying a patch once available.

According to [NetMarket Share](#), the market share for the targeted versions of IE in 2013 were:

IE 9	13.9%
IE 10	11.04%
IE 11	1.32%

Collectively, in 2013, the vulnerable versions of IE accounted for 26.25% of the browser market. The vulnerability, however, does appear in IE6 through IE11 though the exploit targets IE9 and higher.

## The Details

The exploit leverages a previously unknown use-after-free vulnerability, and uses a well-known [Flash exploitation technique](#) to achieve arbitrary memory access and bypass Windows’ ASLR and DEP protections.

## Exploitation

### • Preparing the heap

The exploit page loads a Flash SWF file to manipulate the heap layout with the common technique [heap feng shui](#). It allocates Flash vector objects to spray memory and cover address `0x18184000`. Next, it allocates a vector object that contains a `flash.Media.Sound()` object, which it later corrupts to pivot control to its ROP chain.

### • Arbitrary memory access

The SWF file calls back to Javascript in IE to trigger the IE bug and overwrite the length field of a Flash vector object in the heapspray. The SWF file loops through the heapspray to find the corrupted vector object, and uses it to again modify the length of another vector object. This other corrupted vector object is then used for subsequent memory accesses, which it then uses to bypass ASLR and DEP.

### • Runtime ROP generation

With full memory control, the exploit will search for *ZwProtectVirtualMemory*, and a stack pivot (opcode `0x94 0xc3`) from NTDLL. It also searches for *SetThreadContext* in kernel32, which is used to clear the debug registers. This technique, documented [here](#), may be an attempt to bypass protections that use hardware breakpoints, such as EMET's EAF mitigation.

With the addresses of the aforementioned APIs and gadget, the SWF file constructs a ROP chain, and prepends it to its RC4 decrypted shellcode. It then replaces the vtable of a sound object with a fake one that points to the newly created ROP payload. When the sound object attempts to call into its vtable, it instead pivots control to the attacker's ROP chain.

### • ROP and Shellcode

The ROP payload basically tries to make memory at `0x18184000` executable, and to return to `0x1818411c` to execute the shellcode.

```
0:008> dds eax
18184100  770b5f58 ntdll!ZwProtectVirtualMemory
18184104  1818411c
18184108  ffffffff
1818410c  181840e8
18184110  181840ec
18184114  00000040
18184118  181840e4
```

Inside the shellcode, it saves the current stack pointer to `0x18181800` to safely return to the caller.

```
mov     dword ptr ds:[18181800h],ebp
```

Then, it restores the flash.Media.Sound vtable and repairs the corrupted vector object to avoid application crashes.

```
18184123  b820609f06     mov     eax,69F6020h
18184128  90             nop
```

```

18184129 90          nop
1818412a c700c0f22169      mov     dword ptr [eax],offset
Flash32_11_7_700_261!AdobeCPGetAPI+0x42ac00 (6921f2c0)
18184133 b800401818        mov     eax,18184000h
18184138 90          nop
18184139 90          nop
1818413a c700fe030000      mov     dword ptr [eax],3FEh
ds:0023:18184000=3fffffff0

```

The shellcode also recovers the ESP register to make sure the stack range is in the current thread stack base/limit.

```

18184140 8be5          mov     esp,ebp
18184142 83ec2c        sub     esp,2Ch
18184145 90          nop
18184146 eb2c          jmp     18184174

```

The shellcode calls `SetThreadContext` to clear the debug registers. It is possible that this is an attempt to bypass mitigations that use the debug registers.

```

18184174 57          push   edi
18184175 81ece0050000    sub     esp,5E0h
1818417b c7042410000100  mov     dword ptr [esp],10010h
18184182 8d7c2404        lea    edi,[esp+4]
18184186 b9dc050000      mov     ecx,5DCh
1818418b 33c0          xor     eax,eax
1818418d f3aa          rep stos byte ptr es:[edi]
1818418f 54          push   esp
18184190 6afe          push   0FFFFFFFEh
18184192 b8b308b476      mov     eax,offset kernel32!SetThreadContext
(76b408b3)
18184197 ffd0          call   eax

```

The shellcode calls `URLDownloadToCacheFileA` to download the next stage of the payload, disguised as an image.

## Mitigation

Using EMET may break the exploit in your environment and prevent it from successfully controlling your

computer. **EMET versions 4.1 and 5.0 break (and/or detect) the exploit in our tests.**

**Enhanced Protected Mode in IE breaks the exploit in our tests.** EPM was introduced in IE10.

Additionally, the attack will not work without Adobe Flash. **Disabling the Flash plugin within IE will prevent the exploit from functioning.**

## **Threat Group History**

The APT group responsible for this exploit has been the first group to have access to a select number of browser-based 0-day exploits (e.g. IE, Firefox, and Flash) in the past. They are extremely proficient at lateral movement and are difficult to track, as they typically do not reuse command and control infrastructure. They have a number of backdoors including one known as Pirpi that we previously discussed [here](#). CVE-2010-3962, then a 0-day exploit in Internet Explorer 6, 7, and 8 dropped the Pirpi payload discussed in this previous case.

As this is still an active investigation we are not releasing further indicators about the exploit at this time.

*Acknowledgement: We thank Christopher Glycer, Matt Fowler, Josh Homan, Ned Moran, Nart Villeneuve and Yichong Lin for their support, research, and analysis on these findings.*

This entry was posted in [Advanced Malware](#), [Exploits](#), [Targeted Attack](#), [Uncategorized](#) and tagged [zero-day](#) by [Xiaobo Chen](#), [Dan Caselden](#) and [Mike Scott](#). Bookmark the [permalink](#).