It's not the end of the world: DarkComet misses by a mile

Reversing the DarkComet RAT's crypto- 3/13/2012

Jeff Edwards, Research Analyst, Arbor Networks ASERT

In this article, we will continue our series on reversing DDoS malware crypto systems. Previous subjects have included <u>Armageddon, Khan</u> (now believed to be a very close "cousin" of Dirt Jumper version 5), and <u>PonyDOS</u>. Today we'll be diving deep into the details of DarkComet's crypto. Over the last several months, we have encountered a large number of DarkComet samples, numbering well over a thousand. DarkComet is primarily a general purpose remote access trojan (RAT). It's capabilities support quite an extensive laundry list of mischief, including but not limited to key logging, web cam (and sound card) spying, deleting victim files, scanning ports, hijacking MSN sessions, etc.



Figure 1. Dark Comet's pretty logo

Of course the malware includes DDoS capabilities as well - hence our interest in reversing its communications so that we can keep tabs on whom the DarkComet botnets are attacking. In fact, it is believed to have been used as a DDoS weapon by supporters of the Syrian regime against opposition forces in the recent Syrian uprisings; TrendMicro has a <u>nice article</u> /on this topic.

DarkComet has been studied by a number of researchers. In particular, in November 2011 Laura Aylward of Contextis published an <u>excellent analysis</u> [http://www.contextis.com/research/blog/darkcometrat/] of Dark Comet in which she described the basic cryptographic mechanism used by DarkComet bots to hide their communications; Laura's analysis saved us a considerable amount of time. It was also included in <u>Curt Wilson's recent survey of modern DDoS weapons</u>.

The DarkComet sample upon which we will primarily focus on today is 462,848 bytes in size and has an MD5 hash of 63f2ed5d2ee50e90cda809f2ac740244. It happens to be an instance of DarkComet Version 4.2; however, the results presented here apply to most other versions of DarkComet as well.

When executed in a sandbox, we observed it connecting to a command & control (C&C) server at newrat2.noip.org on TCP port 1604. The RAT uses a raw TCP protocol to exchange information with its C&C; on the wire, the comms look something like this (modified and re-encrypted to protect some of our sensitive sandbox information):

> C&C: 155CAD31A61F Bot: 0F5DAB3EB308 C&C: 1B7D8D3BBF14C6B619480C265C2F4664F9DCB878EA7DFC6F2637 Bot: 35769F079329B4E04603496A432E5A7CFC90A477F478F07A3826A1B436AB92852B685636 F72B52C56D70434D7691F3307D637118B869586A1D19FD15B8C6AE14F8F8C57EFAFCCC09 964E8EE8EED553886AB188665F1AB96586F4F2581C093E75DCF2A8ADC817558BF3452344 0CDBE43CA4C05AC6E8D90D00F35BE795A44AE0E2EDE36C061EAEBD754461F680DBD9893A CF6211698AF22B0BBB92A9B47363AE86E69A08C29DD3DBA59D287E4A0E12664B312A81C0 E9FE4D6E538AB5CC8952CCB372869F57D168CE8ABB52B8D7F8E78547A5EB009931735868

ADEC6BA2B73A94C7A9A6784B1A81C58CF746D384B645DD02D4616479A055420DADEF0458 658A33EEA62BF7F12ABF1C0E00CB6B971869FBC275A3270E8DEBFA20E53E8C3BC6CA2744 A88897E0B16FBBDCAA731B93A72D75FF6DC297 **Bot:** KEEPALIVE144357 **Bot:** C: KEEPALIVE160360 **C&C:** S: KeepAlive|27120274 **Bot:** C: KEEPALIVE176363 **Bot:** C: KEEPALIVE192366 **C&C:** S: KeepAlive|27160288

Figure 2. Example of DarkComet's encrypted comms

These communications are consistent with those reported by Contextis in their <u>DarkComet report</u>. It certainly looks like an initial "phone home" exchange of information, after which the bot and C&C send periodic "Keep Alive" messages to each other. Besides being encrypted, this protocol is somewhat unusual in that the C&C sends the first payload; it is much more common for the bot to send the first payload.

So in order to develop a tracker that impersonates a DarkComet bot so as to snoop on DDoS attacks, we need to reverse the malware's crypto system and write decryption and encryption routines in Python. Let's start reversing by loading a process memory dump of the running bot in <u>IDA Pro</u>. We'll then start poking around looking for routines that might implement the phone home protocol. Since DarkComet clearly uses raw TCP for communication (as opposed to, say, HTTP), we'll focus on finding WinSock2 calls such as <code>socket(), connect(), send(), and recv()</code>.

Well, it turns out that the bot is riddled with vast numbers of WinSock2 calls; not surprising, since DarkComet has a great deal of RAT functions that require network communication. So to narrow down on the actual bot-C&C comms loop, we

locate the lengthy list of command strings, such as KeylogOn, GetOfflineLogs, WEBCAMLIVE, GetMsnList, DDOSHTTPFLOOD, etc. In particular, we note that all these command strings are referenced from the same function. Furthermore, this function is structured as a very long sequence of if-else statements that compare each of these command strings against the same buffer. Even better, there is only a single caller of this function. Hmmm, that certainly sounds like the bot's primary command dispatch routine; we'll call it DispatchCommands sub 493DAC().

Checking out the caller function, we see that it operates in a loop. On each iteration through the loop, it basically performs the following actions:

- 1. Calls recv() to read network traffic into a buffer;
- 2. Performs some copies and operations on this buffer to produce an intermediate buffer;
- 3. Performs an operation (decryption perhaps?) on the intermediate buffer and a global string to produce a final buffer;
- 3. Passes the final buffer to the aforementioned DispatchCommands sub 493DAC() function;

Yes, this sounds like the main comms loop for which we are looking; we'll name this caller function MainCommsLoop sub 493A30(), and focus our attention on the aforementioned loop:

🖽 N 111	
00493BAC	
00493BAC START C	COMMS LOOP loc 493BAC:
00493BAC lea	eax, [ebp+cnc cmd buffer var 2010]
00493BB2 xor	ecx, ecx
00493BB4 mov	edx, 2000h
00493BB9 call	ZeroMemory_sub_403AE4 ; zero out CaC command buffer
00493BBE push	0 ; flags
00493BC0 push	2000h ; buf size
00493BC5 lea	eax, [ebp+cnc_cmd_buffer_var_201C]
00493BCB push	eax
00493BCC mov	eax, ds:cnc_socket_handle_off_4A4F1C
00493BD1 mov	eax, [eax]
00493BD3 push	eax ; socket handle to U&U
00493BD4 Call	recy_sup_400000 ; read up to 4096 bytes from Lat
00493BD9 mov	ebx, eax
00493BDD ig	short GAT CMC DATA Loc 493BED : jump if got CAC data
CO DODDD Jg	biolo col_cito_bini_coo_bobb / jamp 11 goo cao aada
	FINIL
	10493BED GOT ENC DATA loc 493BED:
	01493BED lea eax. [ebp+cmdbuf conv var 2024]
493BAC 0	00493BF3 lea edx, [ebp+cnc cmd buffer var 2010]
	00493BF9 call near ptr 405D5Ch ; copy raw command buffer
0	00493BFE mov eax, [ebp+cmdbuf copy var 2024]
lo	00493C04 lea edx, [ebp+intermediate_buf_var_2020]
0	00493COA call PreprocessEncryptedBuffer_sub_409ACC ; Pre-processing ???
0	00493COF mov edx, [ebp+intermediate_buf_var_2020]
0	00493C15 lea eax, [ebp+working_buffer_var_4]
0	00493C18 call Copy_sub_4056F4 ; copy intermediate buffer
0	00493C1D lea ecx, [ebp+plain_buf_var_2028]
0	JU493C23 mov edx, ds:global_string_off_4A4FA8 ; crypto key ???
0	JU493U29 mov edx, [edx]
	00493025 mov eax, [ebp+working_burler_var_4]
	00493022 Call pectypicommanubuller_sup_44C628; Decryption ???
	00493C39 lea eav [ebp+prain_burking huffer var 4]
	10493C3C call Conv sub 405504 : conv decrypted plain buf
	00493C41 mov eax. [ebp+working buffer var 4] : EAX masses CAC command string
	00493C44 call DispatchCommands sub 493DAC
0	00493C49 jmp START COMMS LOOP loc 493BAC
L,	

Figure 3. Function MainCommsLoop_sub_493A30()

It definitely looks like a great candidate for the decryption operation. It follows the general structure that is quite common among bot families that encrypt their comms; namely, a pre-processing operation applied to a buffer, followed by the actual decryption step. In particular, one strong clue is that the (assumed) decryption step takes a third argument which, in this case, is a reference to a global string - very likely to be the decryption key string!

So first let's see what our (tentatively named) DecryptCommandBuffer_sub_44C628() function looks like. DarkComet being a Delphi-based bot, the decryption function is passed the source (encrypted) buffer in EAX, the (presumed) crypto key in EDX, and an output string buffer in ECX. After checking to make sure neither the source nor key strings are empty, the function gets down to business. The first substantive operation is to pass the raw (encrypted) source buffer src_buf_var_4 via EAX, along with an output buffer temp_buf_var_420 via EDX, to function sub_44C1C0(); the output buffer is then copied back into the original source buffer src_buf_var_4:

uf??
0

Figure 4. Function DecryptCommandBuffer sub 44C628()

So sub_44C1C0() seems like it might be doing some pre-processing on the encrypted source buffer; let's see what kind of pre-processing it is doing. Skipping past the obligatory checks for empty source buffers, etc., we arrive at some code that loops over the source buffer, referenced by src_buf_var_4; however, it makes only one loop iteration for every two bytes in src_buf_var_4. This is accomplished by extracting the DWORD just in front of the source string and shifting it one bit to the right, in order to calculate the number of *pairs* of source characters:



Figure 5. Function PreProcess_sub_44C1C0()

This works because in Delphi, the AnsiString class stores its length at an offset of 4 bytes in front of the first actual byte of string content:



Figure 6. Structure of a Delphi AnsiString

For example, in the case of the initial encrypted payload received by the bot from the C&C, 155CAD31A61F, the length of the source buffer is 12, so the code will make only 6 iterations through the loop. On each iteration of the loop, DarkComet will process a pair of two source bytes to yield one output byte.

The first operation inside the loop is to test whether or not the value of the first source byte in the pair is greater than 0×39 , and branch accordingly. After using the one-based index EBX to pull out the first of the two source bytes in the pair, it adds $0 \times D0$, subtracts $0 \times 0A$, and then tests whether the resulting value is greater than or equal to zero. Since it is operating on the 8-bit register AL, the result is that source bytes with values of $0 \times 3A$ or greater will be processed by one branch, and those with values of 0×39 and less will be processed by a second branch:



Figure 7. Function PreProcess sub 44C1C0()

If the first source byte in the pair has value 0×39 or less, the bot will subtract 0×30 from it and save the result to the current index within the output buffer:

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
🖽 N 내	
0044C253 mov	<pre>eax, esi ; branch here if src byte <= 0x39 (digit)</pre>
0044C253	; ESI holds dst output buffer
0044C255 call	sub_40595C
0044C255	
0044C25A mov	edx, ebx
0044C25C add	edx, edx ; EDX := EBX * 2
0044C25E mov	ecx, [ebp+src_buf_var_4]
0044C261 movzx	edx, byte ptr [ecx+edx-2] ; EDX := src[EBX*2-2]
0044C266 sub	dl, 30h
0044C269 mov	[eax+ebx-1], d1 ; dst[k] = src[k*2] - 0x30
0044C269	2
0044C26D jmp	short loc_44C2A2
0044C26D	

Figure 8. Function PreProcess_sub_44C1C0()

In other words, it will convert the ASCII representations $(0 \times 30, 0 \times 31, ..., 0 \times 39)$ of the digits 0 through 9 into their equivalent integer representations $(0 \times 00, 0 \times 01, ..., 0 \times 09)$.

The second branch performs a similar operation: it first tests to make sure that the value of the source byte is not 0×47 or greater (in which case it will immediately bail out of the loop and jump to the end of the PreProcess_sub_44C1C0() function.) It will then subtract 0×37 from the source byte and save the result into the current index within the output buffer:

	*
🖽 N 내실	
0044C26F	
0044C26F IF_SRC_1	IS_NOT_DIGIT_loc_44C26F: ; branch here if src byte >= 0x3A (letter)
0044C26F mov	eax, ebx
0044C271 add	eax, eax ; EAX := EBX * 2
0044C273 mov	edx, [ebp+src_buf_var_4]
0044C276 movzx	<pre>eax, byte ptr [edx+eax-2] ; AL := src[EBX*2-2]</pre>
0044C27B add	al, OBFh ; test if src byte >= 0x47
0044C27D sub	al, 6
0044C27F jnb	<pre>loc_44C328 ; bail if source byte >= 0x47</pre>
0044C27F	
🖽 N 📖	
0044C285 mov	eax, esi ; ESI holds ptr to dst DWORD
0044C287 call	<pre>sub_40595C ; lock? [routine]</pre>
0044C287	
0044C28C mov	edx, ebx
0044C28E add	edx, edx
0044C290 mov	ecx, [ebp+src_buf_var_4]
0044C293 movzx	edx, byte ptr [ecx+edx-2] ; EDX := src[k]
0044C298 sub	d1, 41h
0044C29B add	dl, OAh ; subtract 0x37 from src byte
0044C29E mov	[eax+ebx-1], d1; dst[k] = src[k] - 0x37
0044C29E	

Figure 9. Function PreProcess_sub_44C1C0()

In other words, it will convert the ASCII representations $(0 \times 41, 0 \times 42, ..., 0 \times 46)$ of the upper-case letters A through F into their equivalent hexadecimal representations $(0 \times 0A, 0 \times 0B, ..., 0 \times 0F)$.

The two branches (for handling digits and upper-case A through F) will then re-join, and the resulting integer/hexadecimal representation of the first source byte will be left-shifted by four (thus multiplying it by 16):

0044C2A9	mov	edx, [esi]	; ESI holds pointe	r to dst buf
0044C2AB	movzx	edx, byte ptr [e	dx+ebx-1] ; EDX :=	dst[EBX-1]
0044C2AB			; EDX := dst[k]	
0044C2B0	shl	edx, 4	; EDX <<= 4	
0044C2B3	mov	[eax+ebx-1], dl	; dst[k] *= 16	
0044C2B3			±	

Figure 10. Function PreProcess_sub_44C1C0()

At this point, it is pretty clear what is going on. The PreProcess_sub_44C1C0() function is converting the ASCII representation of the source string of bytes into the equivalent hexadecimal representation. This conjecture is confirmed upon inspection of the remaining portion of the loop, which applies the same ASCII-to-hex operation on the second byte of each pair of source bytes, and adds the result to the left-shifted output from the first byte of the pair. So at the end of the day, the first line of raw encrypted source payload from the C&C is pre-processed from the 12-character ASCII string 155CAD31A61F to its equivalent sequence of six hexadecimal bytes $0 \times 15 0 \times 5C 0 \times AD 0 \times 31 0 \times A6 0 \times 1F$, as follows:

src index	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
src (ASCII)	1	5	5	С	A	D	3	1	A	6	1	F
<pre>src (raw)</pre>	0x31	0x35	0x35	0x43	0x41	0x44	0x33	0x31	0x41	0x36	0x31	0x46
src (hex)	0x01	0x05	0x05	0x0C	0x0A	0x0D	0x03	0x01	0x0A	0x06	0x01	0x0F
shifted	0x10		0x50		0xA0		0x30		0xA0		0x10	
dst	0 x	15	0 x	5C	0x	AD	0 x	31	0 x	A6	0x	1F

Figure 11. ASCII to Integer Conversion

So we will rename this function as Integerize_sub_44C1C0(), and head back to the main DecryptCommandBuffer_sub_44C628() function to continue reversing the crypto algorithm. After the raw source buffer has been converted from ASCII form to integer form, the next substantive code block initializes a 256-element array stable_var_41C:



Figure 12. Function DecryptCommandBuffer_sub_44C628()

Each element in stable_var_41C is a 32-bit DWORD; the elements are initialized to the values 0x00000000 through 0x000000FF in ascending order:

Index ESI	0	1	2	3	4	• • •	253	254	255
Value subst_var_41C[ESI]	0x00	0x01	0x02	0x03	0x04	• • •	0xFD	0xFE	0xFF

Figure 13. Initial state of substitution table stable_var_41C

At this point, we can guess that stable_var_41C is going to play the role of a substitution table for decrypting the source buffer src_buf_var_4, so let's see how DarkComet builds this table.

After initializing the substitution table to hold all the values between 0×00 and $0 \times FF$ in a nice ascending order, it proceeds to vigorously scramble up the elements of the table. It makes 256 iterations through a loop; on each iteration, it swaps the positions of two of the elements in the substitution table. On the *k*th iteration, one of the swapped elements is always the *k*th element, which is pointed to by register ECX; the other is chosen based on the key string. The core of the loop that scrambles up the substitution table is as follows:



Figure 14. Function DecryptCommandBuffer_sub_44C628()

The first code block in the above IDA listing chooses which element of $stable_var_41C$ should be swapped with the k^{th} element. It uses an accumulator variable, implemented by register EBX and initialized to zero. On each pass through the loop, it updates the acccumulator EBX by adding to it the value of the k^{th} element of $stable_var_41C$ and the value of the current key string byte. One byte of key string is used per iteration, and whenever the key string is "used up", it restarts again at the beginning of the key; register EDI holds the length of the key string, so the bot just computes k modulo EDI (at instruction $0 \times 0044C767$) to choose which byte of the key to use on the k^{th} iteration.

The last code block performs the actual swapping, using swap_temp_var_15 as the temporary variable to do the swap. Once 256 such swaps have been performed, the loop exits and the substitution table stable_var_41C has been nicely scrambled and is ready for use.

At this point, the actual process of decryption is performed. DarkComet iterates through its decryption loop once for each byte in the encrypted source message (after conversion from ASCII to integer representation.) The decryption loop performs the following two steps:

First, it performs an additional scrambling operation on the substitution table $stable_var_41C$ by swapping two elements. When processing the k^{th} source byte, the first element of the swap pair is always the $k+1^{th}$ element of table $stable_var_41C$; it uses another accumulator variable, implemented by register EDI, to choose the second element of the swap pair:



Figure 15. Function DecryptCommandBuffer_sub_44C628()

After performing this swap operation, DarkComet finally decrypts a byte of message. It sums up the values of the two swapped elements (at instruction $0 \times 0044C85F$), then uses the result (modulo 256) to re-index into the stable_var_41C table to pull out a third element (at instruction $0 \times 0044C874$). This third element is XORed against the current (kth) source byte to produced a decrypted character.

It should be pointed out that conceptually, this decryption mechanism - both the manner in which the substitution table is built, as well as how it is used for XOR-based decryption - is *very* similar to that used by the <u>Trojan.PonyDOS</u> malware family. The actual implementation has quite a few differences, but the basic encryption algorithm is the same. Trojan.PonyDOS, however, adds a few additional layers to secure its communications protocol above and beyond the core crypto algorithm which it shares with DarkComet; specifically, the computation of some cryptographic hashes. Also, Trojan.PonyDOS does not go to the trouble of converting its encrypted data payloads into ASCII representations as DarkComet does.

Now that we've reversed the core DarkComet decryption mechanism (needed to read C&C commands), we'll want to confirm that the encryption mechanism (needed to read and/or fake bot phone home messages) is symmetric. And indeed, by following references to the socket handle used to recv() the initial C&C command, we can trace through to find the encryption routine called by DarkComet just prior to send() ing back its response messages. Sure enough, the encryption routine, Encrypt_sub_44C34C(), is functionally identical to the decryption routine, as hoped and expected; the only difference being that the Integerize_sub_44C1C0() routine prior to decryption is absent, and a new routine, which we'll call Integer2String_sub_409C6C(), is called following the encryption step; this routine simply converts the raw encrypted data back into the ASCII version of its hexadecimal values.

Of course, in order to have a fully functional implementation of DarkComet's crypto system, we'll need to know what key strings it uses. We see that there are two locations where DecryptCommandBuffer_sub_44C628() is called, and one of those locations, EncryptData_sub_49D9EC(), has a hard-coded string with an uncanny resemblance to a decryption key:

	*
🖽 N 📖	
0049DA45 lea	eax, [ebp+key_var_10]
0049DA48	
0049DA48 FORM_H	KEY_loc_49DA48: ;
0049DA48 mov	<pre>ecx, [ebx+8] ; <== WHAT IS THIS?</pre>
0049DA4B mov	edx, offset aKcmddc42f ; "#KCMDDC42F#-"
0049DA50 call	Concat_sub_405784 ; concatenate prefix with [ebx+8]
0049DA50	
0049DA55 mov	edx, [ebp+key_var_10] ; key string
0049DA58 mov	ecx, esi ; dst <mark>buf</mark> (plain text)
0049DA5A mov	eax, [ebp+plain_buf_var_8] ; src buf (encrypted)
0049DA5D call	DecryptCommandBuffer_sub_44C628 ; EAX passes src buffer
0049DA5D	; EDX passes key buffer
0049DA5D	; ECX passes dst buffer
0049DA5D	

Figure 16. Function EncryptData_sub_49D9EC()

We see that the decryption string key_var_10, passed to DecryptCommandBuffer_sub_44C628() via EDX, is formed by concatenating a hard-coded string #KCMDDC42F#- with some mystery string stored at [EBX+8]. It turns out that this mysterious value stored at an offset from EBX is passed into EncryptData_sub_49D9EC() via the EAX register. Tracing backwards up the stack, we follow the reference to EAX as the baton is passed from register to register. It does not take long to come across the following routine, which we will label ComputeKeySuffix sub_48F52C():

🖽 N 📖
0048F52C
0048F52C
0048F52C
0048F52C ComputeKeySuffix sub 48F52C proc near
0048F52C push ebx
0048F52D push esi
0048F52E mov esi, eax ; passes output buffer
0048F530 mov ebx, 0FFFFF8Fh ; EBX := 0xFFFFF8F
0048F530 ; EBX := -0x71
0048F530 ; EBX := -113
0048F535 add ebx, 3E8h ; EBX += 0x3E8
0048F535 ; EBX += 1000
0048F535 ; EBX := -113 + 1000
0048F535 : EBX := 887
0048F53B mov eax. 4 : loop four times
0048F53B
0048F540 LOOP START Loc 48F540 · FBX += 1
0048F540 inc ebx : EBX: 887 ==> 891
0048F542 inz short LOOP START loc 48F540
0048F542
_
#Νι
048F544 dec ebx ; EBX -= 1
048F544 ; EBX := 890
048F545 mov edx. esi
048F547 mov eax, ebx
048F549 call Integer2String sub 409C6C ; EAX passes integer
1048F549 : EDX passes dst buf
048F549
1048F54E pop esi
048F54F non ebx
048F550 retn
0487550
048F550 ComputeKevSuffix sub 48F52C endp

Figure 17. Function ComputeKeySuffix_sub_48F52C()

You don't run into code like this very often. It receives an output buffer passed via EAX. It then uses register EBX to do some rather "inefficient" operations. First, it assigns EBX the value $0 \times FFFFF8F$, or -71. It then adds 1000 to EBX, yielding 887. Then it goes through four iterations of a loop that has no purpose other than to increment EBX by one on each iteration, resulting in a value of 891. Finally, it completes its laborious calculations by decrementing EBX by one, yielding a final answer of 890. This integer is passed to a standard integer-to-string API, which writes the string 890 into the output buffer. In C, these shenanigans would look something like the following:

```
int nAddend = 1000;
int nSuffix = -71;
int nResult = nSuffix + nAddend;
for (int k=0; k<4; k++)
    nResult += 1;
sprintf(suffix, "%d", --nResult);
```

This is a very roundabout way of assigning the hard-coded string 890 to a buffer. Clearly the DarkComet author is (wisely) trying to avoid having the entire decryption key string hard-coded in the bot executable.

So at this point, we know that the decryption key is composed of the prefix #KCMDDC42F#- concatenated with the suffix 890, yielding #KCMDDC42F#-890.

One final note regarding the encryption key strings used by DarkComet: as first documented in Contextis' Laura Aylward's <u>DarkComet analysis</u>, each version of DarkComet uses a different hard-coded string for the key prefix. For example, we have observed the following:

Dark Comet version	Crypto Key Prefix (Default)
Version 4.0	#KCMDDC4#-890
Version 4.2	#KCMDDC42F#-890

Version 5.0	#KCMDDC5#-890

Figure 18. Standard crypto key prefixes for DarkComet versions

Furthermore, and also documented by Contextis, DarkComet supports the use of an optional password that is appended to the default (version-specific) crypto key. For example, the default password (if enabled) string is 0123456789. This 10-digit string will be appended to the standard crypto key #KCMDDC42F#-890 (in the case of DarkComet version 4.2) to yield a final key of #KCMDDC42F#-8900123456789. The code that performs this concatenation is found in a routine we'll call FormCryptoKey_sub_49D2F4():

```
10049D321
                 eax, ds:key off 4A4FA8 ; base version-specific key prefix
0049D324 mov
                                 ; e.g., #KCMDDC42F#-
0049D324
0049D329 push
                 dword ptr [eax]
                 eax, [ebp+key suffix var 24]
0049D32B lea
                 ComputeKeySuffix sub 48F52C ; hardcoded to yield "890"
0049D32E call
0049D32E
0049D333 push
                 [ebp+key suffix var 24] ; always "890"
                 edx, [ebp+password component var 28]
0049D336 lea
                 eax, ds:PWD off 4A4B84 ; Password stored in PWD resource
0049D339 mov
0049D33E mov
                 eax, [eax]
                 sub_409A78
0049D340 call
0049D340
0049D345 push
                 [ebp+password component var 28] ; password (if any)
                 eax, ds:key off 4A4FA8
0049D348 mov
0049D34D mov
                 edx, 3
                                 ; concatenate three strings
                 ConcatStrings sub 405800
0049D352 call
0049D352
```

Figure 19. Function FormCryptoKey sub 49D2F4()

This code concatenates the three components of the final crypto key: the hard-coded prefix (e.g., #KCMDDC42F#-), the three-digit string 890 that is not technically hard-coded but deterministically computed using the aforementioned ComputeKeySuffix_sub_48F52C() routine, and the optional botnet password stored in the global variable PWD_off_4A4B84.

The password itself is actually stored as an encrypted resource. Upon initialization, it is decrypted using a preliminary crypto key comprised only of the first two components (e.g., #KCMDDC42F#-890) using a routine we've labeled DecryptResource_sub_49D9EC(). To make a long story short, this routine uses the Windows APIs FindResource(), LoadResource(), etc. to extract a named resource of type RT_RCDATA (code 0x0A), intended for "application-defined resources (raw data)". The raw data is then decrypted using the preliminary crypto key.

In the case of the crypto password, the name of the resource is PWD. The resource is extracted, decrypted, and stored for future use in the global variable PWD_off_4A4B84 by a function we call DecryptResources_sub_49F92C():

0049F964 GetKeySuffix sub 49D934 ; returns suffix to be appended 0049F969 call 0049F969 ; to crypto key 0049F969 0049F96E mov ebx, eax ; returns suffix string "890" 0049F970 lea ecx, [ebp+decrypted password var 14] edx, offset aPwd ; "PWD" 0049F973 mov eax, ebx 0049F978 mov 0049F97A call DecryptResource sub 49D9EC ; EDX holds input/src buf (plain) 0049F97A ; ECX holds output/dst buf (encrypted) ; EAX holds suffix for key 0049F97A 0049F97A 0049F97F mov edx, [ebp+decrypted password var 14] eax, ds:PWD off 4A4B84 0049F982 mov 0049F987 call DoCopy sub 4054C0 ; copy decrypted PWD resource ; into global PWD off 4A4B84 0049F987 0049F987

Figure 20. Function DecryptResources_sub_49F92C()

In the case of the default password 0123456789, the encrypted resource will hold the value 6811E636E69E9AEFA5C6. This DecryptResources_sub_49F92C() function actually decrypts a lot of encrypted bot parameters stored in various resources; some of the more interesting ones are as follows:

Resource Name	Encrypted Data	Decrypted Value
FAKEMSG	69	1
GENCODE	6146B749A3CF9C9FE8CFAB2C	9fcLqd0Gu00j
MSGCORE	1100A768B3C7C0F8FCDFC907B6F9	I small a RAT!
MSGTITLE	1C41A66E91C4C1BDE9	DarkComet

MUTEX	1C638B4887FFE980B0B9AE72B1EA40A3	DC_MUTEX-F54S21D
NETDATA	6919E62BE39D94F6ACCFAB68D5ED4BD67BA333	192.168.100.75:1604
PWD	6811E636E69E9AEFA5C6	0123456789
SID	1F55B176A69A9A	Guest16

Figure 21. Interesting encrypted resources

Of particular interest is the encrypted NETDATA resource, which holds the C&C hostname and port. The <u>Resource Hacker</u> tool is a great utility for viewing and extracting the various DarkComet encrypted parameters:



Figure 22. Resource Hacker extracting DarkComet resources

So to summarize, DarkComet uses a hard-coded (although different for each version) preliminary key string, such as #KCMDDC42F#-890, to decrypt its sensitive parameters from various raw resources - such as the C&C information and communications password stored in the NETDATA and PWD resources, respectively. It then appends the decrypted comms password (stored in the PWD resource) to the end of the preliminary crypto key string to form the final key, #KCMDDC42F#-8900123456789, that it uses for securing the network traffic to and from its C&C server.

Putting everything together into a complete DarkComet crypto module yields the following Python script:

```
# DarkComet decryptor/encryptor
# Copyright (c) 2012 Arbor Networks
import sys
class DarkCometCryptor(object):
    def __init__(self, key):
        self._len_key = len(key)
        self._key = [ord(token) for token in key]
    def decrypt(self, src):
        # Convert ASCII to hex representation
        buf = [int("0x%s" % src[k*2:k*2+2], 16) for k in range(len(src)//2)]
        self._cryption(buf)
        return "".join([chr(token) for token in buf])
    def encrypt(self, src):
        buf = [ord(token) for token in src]
```

```
self. cryption(buf)
        # Convert to hex codes (upper case)
        return "".join(["%02x" % tok for tok in buf]).upper()
    def cryption(self, src):
        # Build subst table
        stable = list(range(256))
        accum = 0
        for k in range (256):
            accum += stable[k]
            accum += self._key[k % self._len_key]
            accum &= 0xff
            stable[k], stable[accum] = stable[accum], stable[k]
        # Apply subst table
        accum = 0
        for k in range(len(src)):
            elem a idx = self. LS BYTE(k + 1)
            accum += stable[elem a idx]
            elem b idx = self. LS BYTE(accum)
            stable[elem b idx], stable[elem a idx] = \setminus
                       stable[elem a idx], stable[elem b idx]
            swap sum = self. LS BYTE(stable[elem b idx] + stable[elem a idx])
            src[k] ^= self. LS BYTE(stable[swap sum])
    @staticmethod
    def LS BYTE (value):
        return 0xff & value
if name == ' main ':
   if len(sys.argv) != 4 or sys.argv[1] not in ('-d', '-e'):
        print "usage: %s [-d|-e] SRC TEXT KEY" % sys.argv[0]
```

```
sys.exit(1)
do_decrypt = bool(sys.argv[1] == '-d')
src = sys.argv[2]
key = sys.argv[3]
print "%s: %s" % ("CRYPT" if do_decrypt else "PLAIN", src)
cryptor = DarkCometCryptor(key)
dst = cryptor.decrypt(src) if do_decrypt else cryptor.encrypt(src)
print "%s: %s" % ("PLAIN" if do decrypt else "CRYPT", dst)
```

Figure 23. darkcomet.py Crypto Module

Applying our DarkComet encryption module against the observed traffic results in the following:

C&C: IDTYPE Bot: SERVER C&C: GetSIN192.10.8.64|27038511 Bot: infoesComet|192.10.8.64 / [192.1.167.30] : 1604|SANDBOX7 / Admin|27038511|29s|Windows XP Service Pack 2 [2600] 32 bit (C:\)|x||US|C:\WINDOWS\system32\cmd.exe|{16382783-b70c-71e4-11e0-28f8efc0696f-10806d6172}|127.43 MiB/256.09 MiB [128.22 MiB Free]|English (United States) US / -- |10/9/2011 at 8:13:31 PM

Figure 24. Decrypted version of comms from Figure 2.

Likewise, when a DarkComet C&C issues attacks command, the encrypted traffic on the wire looks like these examples:

185CB63BBE0EA3DF6D2A725936265160E391BC77F47FF46A3934CFB173AC

185CB63BA31EA7C967297252432E5A7CFC96B261EB7EF4742533CEBF37A9C081 185CB63BA503B9C967297252432E5A7CFC96B261EB7EF4742533CEBF37A9C081

But applying the decryption routine yields the following:

DDOSHTTPFLOOD192.168.100.254|5 DDOSUDPFLOOD192.168.100.254:80|5 DDOSSYNFLOOD192.168.100.254:80|5

Which corresponds to ordering an HTTP flood, a UDP flood, and a TCP flood, respectively, against target 192.168.100.254, with each attack lasting for 5 seconds. Once the attacks are completed the DarkComet bot will respond with an encrypted status message such as the following:

1E4CAB2DA50FBBDB781F5336347B073DA9DCD936B46EB03B646DDAE366F7D5C76D3C0420A55906F524 240A0F34D3A6384150

Which decrypts to the following:

BTRESULTSyn Flood|Syn task finished!|Administrator

As implied above, DarkComet supports three types of DDoS attacks: HTTP flooding, UDP flooding, and TCP flooding (mis-advertised as "SYNFLOOD"). The UDP and TCP volumetric floods are quite unremarkable and simply consist of random gibberish blasted at a target host and port. The HTTP flood also appears to be intended as a rudimentary GET flood with a minimalist HTTP request header. However, DarkComet's HTTP flood implementation happens to have not one, but two catastrophic bugs.

First of all, the thread procedure that implements the DDOSHTTPFLOOD attack command, SendHttp_sub_485848(), uses the WinSock2 library's socket(), connect(), and send() APIs to send the following hard-coded HTTP flooding request:

GET / HTTP/1.1\r\n\r\n

At first glance, this looks like an (almost) valid, although minimalist, HTTP request that is terminated with a double carriage-return/line-feed (CRLF) combination. However, when one takes a closer look at the way DarkComet stores this string, we see that the \r and \n characters are not actually CR (0x0D) and LF (0x0A) bytes. Instead, they are literally comprised of the backslash (0x2F), letter r (0x72), and letter n (0x6E) bytes!

	.rsrc:00485968	da	OFFFF		Th									
•	.rsrc:0048596C	dd	16h	1		2	leng	rth (of	22,	inst	ead (of 18	
•	.rsrc:00485970	HttpRequest_byte_48	35970	db	47h	2	G							
•	.rsrc:00485971	db	45h	; E										
•	.rsrc:00485972	db	54h	; 1										
•	.rsrc:00485973	db	20h											
•	.rsrc:00485974	db	2Fh	: /	1									
•	.rsrc:00485975	db	20h											
•	.rsrc:00485976	db	48h	; E	í.									
•	.rsrc:00485977	db	54h	; 1										
•	.rsrc:00485978	db	54h	; 1										
•	.rsrc:00485979	db	50h	; F	J.									
•	.rsrc:0048597A	db	2Fh	: /	1									
•	.rsrc:0048597B	db	31h	; 1										
•	.rsrc:0048597C	db	2Eh	; .										
•	.rsrc:0048597D	db	31h	; 1										
•	.rsrc:0048597E	db	5Ch	:)	I.	2	<==	000	ps!	tl	hese	shoul	ld be	0x0D
•	.rsrc:0048597F	db	72h	; 1										
•	.rsrc:00485980	db	5Ch	$: \$	J	2	<==	and	th	ese	shou	ild be	e OxO	A
•	.rsrc:00485981	db	6Eh	; r	L.									
•	.rsrc:00485982	db	5Ch	:)	J	2	<==	same	e h	ere				
•	.rsrc:00485983	db	72h	; 1										
•	.rsrc:00485984	db	5Ch	$: \$	I.	2	<==	and	he	re.				
•	.rsrc:00485985	db	6Eh	; r	L.									
•	.rsrc:00485986	db	0											

Figure 25. Hard-coded HTTP request string HttpRequest_byte_485970

If the HTTP request string had been encoded properly (ending with 0x0D0A0D0A), the length of the string would have been 18. But instead, we see that it is 22 bytes in length. Due to this, DarkComet's attempt at an application layer attack is not close to a valid HTTP request per the RFCs.

The second big mistake in the implementation of DarkComet's HTTP flood attack becomes apparent further down in the attack thread code, just before the (buggy) HTTP request payload is sent to the target via the send() API:



Figure 26. Function EncryptAndSendData_sub_49393C()

Unbelievably, DarkComet bot is accidentally encrypting the (buggy) GET request string at instruction 0×00493972 via a call to the already-reversed Encrypt_sub_44C34C() routine. The resulting (encrypted) HTTP request is then sent on its merry way to the DDoS target via the send() API call at instruction $0 \times 0049399D$.

So the target web server ends up receiving gibberish instead of a well-formed HTTP request that might exhaust resources at the application layer. Due to these two serious flaws, DarkComet's HTTP flood attack reduces down to nothing more than a volumetric TCP flood against port 80, and a very weak one at that (a mere 22 bytes of TCP payload per flooding packet...) In fact, here is what the actual "HTTP flooding" traffic looks like:

1B5DAD48D97ABFDB7F3612275C26342091CED63D8620 1B5DAD48D97ABFDB7F3612275C26342091CED63D8620 1B5DAD48D97ABFDB7F3612275C26342091CED63D8620

Clearly, this is very unlikely to bring any web server to its knees!

Acknowledgements to Arbor Networks analyst Curt Wilson for his valuable insights and assistance with this article.