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## Part I

## McEliece cryptosystem

## Before we begin

- There are quite a number of slides.
- A lot of them are only there for your convenience as a quick reminder.

What you should remember:

- McEliece with binary separable Goppa codes.
- Such Goppa codes and quasi-dyadic matrices are related.
- Together they provide short McEliece keys.


## McEliece cryptosystem (1978)

- First cryptosystem using error correcting codes as trapdoor.
- Trapdoor: efficient error correcting algorithm for Goppa codes.
- Since 1978 unbroken in its original version.


## How it works: The ingredients

- $n, t \in \mathbb{N}$, where $t \ll n$
- Binary $(n, k)$ code $\mathcal{G}$ with minimum distance $d \geq 2 t+1$
- $G^{\prime}: k \times n$ generator matrix of $\mathcal{G}$
- $S: k \times k$ random binary non-singular matrix
- $P$ : $n \times n$ random permutation matrix
- Public key: $(G, t)$ with $G:=S G^{\prime} P$
- Private key: $\left(S, \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{G}}, P\right)$, where $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{G}}$ decoder for $\mathcal{G}$


## How it works: The recipe

Apply $P^{-1}$


$$
\mathbf{m} S G^{\prime}=\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{G}}\left(\mathbf{c} P^{-1}\right)
$$

$$
\mathbf{m}=\left(\mathbf{m} S G^{\prime}\right)_{J}\left(G_{. J}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} S^{-1}
$$

## How it works: The recipe

Apply $P^{-1}$
Apply $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{G}}$

$\mathbf{m S G} G^{\prime}=\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{G}}\left(\mathbf{c} P^{-1}\right)$

$$
\mathbf{m}=\left(\mathbf{m} S G^{\prime}\right)_{J}\left(G_{. J}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} S^{-1}
$$

## How it works: The recipe

Apply $P^{-1}$
Apply $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{G}}$

$$
\mathbf{c} P^{-1}=(\mathbf{m} S) G^{\prime} \oplus \mathbf{z} P^{-1}
$$

$\mathbf{m S G} G^{\prime}=\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{G}}\left(\mathbf{c} P^{-1}\right)$

$$
\mathbf{m}=\left(\mathbf{m} S G^{\prime}\right)_{J}\left(G_{. J}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} S^{-1}
$$

Use $\left(G_{. J}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ and $S^{-1}$

## The Dilemma

Although the McEliece scheme based on Goppa codes turns out to be quite efficent in terms of speed, and is unbroken since its invention, one major drawback still remains:

## Downside

The sizes of the public matrices are too big, typically around hundreds of KB or even some MB.

## Goal

Reduce this size, but don't sacrifice speed and security.

Part II

## Asking for trouble

## Don't make the adversary happy

One way to reduce the key size is to put more structure into the cryptosystem. But we have to be very careful ...

## More structure means more information!

If it's done the wrong way ...
Good news for the adversary!

## Compact keys are only useful in compact form

Compact keys are definitely what we want, but ...
If we have to unfold the keys to become operational, we would gain not that much!

## What about performance?

Compact keys are definitely what we want, but ...

## Their processing speed shouldn't be much worse than RSA.

## Mission impossible?

To solve those problems all at once seems to be really tough.

## So let's try!

## Part III

## Goppa codes

## Goppa codes have shown their quality

Since its invention, the original McEliece scheme using general Goppa codes is unbroken.

## McEliece with other codes has failed.

Therefore, let's try Goppa codes as starting place.

## Goppa codes: what was that again?

## Goppa codes $\subsetneq$ Alternant codes $\subsetneq$ GRS codes

General assumptions:

- $n \leq q \in \mathbb{N}_{+}$
- $\mathbf{L}=\left(L_{0}, \ldots, L_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$, pairwise distinct
- $h(X):=\prod_{i \in n}\left(X-L_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$
- $g(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X], g\left(L_{i}\right) \neq 0$ for all $i$


## Generalized Reed-Solomon Codes

$\operatorname{GRS}_{k}(L, g):=$

$$
\left\{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}: \exists f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]_{<k}: \sum_{i \in n} c_{i} \prod_{j \neq i}\left(X-L_{j}\right) \equiv f g(\bmod h)\right\}
$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$.

## Alternant Codes: restricting GRS to subfields

- $m \in \mathbb{N}_{+}$, some extension degree
- $\mathbf{L}=\left(L_{0}, \ldots, L_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{n}$, pairwise distinct
- $g(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}[X], g\left(L_{i}\right) \neq 0$ for all $i$

$$
\operatorname{Alt}_{k, q}(L, g):=G R S_{k}(L, g) \cap \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}
$$

## Classical Goppa codes: special alternant codes

- Alternant code as before, but:
- $\operatorname{deg}(g(X)):=n-k$

$$
\begin{aligned}
G O_{q}(L, g) & :=\left\{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}: \sum_{i \in n} c_{i} \prod_{j \neq i}\left(X-L_{j}\right) \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod g)\right\} \\
& =\left\{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}: \sum_{i \in n} \frac{c_{i}}{\left(X-L_{j}\right)} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod g)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

- $g(X)$ is called Goppa polynomial and,
- $\mathbf{L}=\left(L_{0}, \ldots, L_{n-1}\right)$ its support.


## Syndrome function

Assume $q=p^{m}$ for some prime $p$ (usually $p=2$ ) and $t=\operatorname{deg}(g(X))$.

Syndrome function

$$
\begin{gathered}
S: \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}[X] /(g) \\
\mathbf{c} \mapsto \sum_{i \in n} \frac{c_{i}}{X-L_{i}} \quad(\bmod g)
\end{gathered}
$$

We see that

$$
G O_{p}(L, g)=\left\{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{n}: S(\mathbf{c}) \equiv 0(\bmod g)\right\}
$$

## Some remarks

## Theorem

The Goppa code $G O_{p}(L, g)$, where $\operatorname{deg}(g(X))=t<n$

- has length $n=\# L=\#\left\{L_{0}, \ldots, L_{n-1}\right\}$
- dimension $k$ satisfying $n-m t \leq k \leq n-t$
- and minimum distance $d \geq t+1$.

Note that the last property holds for Goppa codes in general. As we will see, the estimation can be improved in certain cases.

## The canonical parity check matrix for GRS again

The parity check matrix H for a GRS code, which restricts to a Goppa code, has the following form:

H for GRS code, which restricts to Goppa code

$$
H=V D=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
L_{0} & L_{1} & \cdots & L_{n-1} \\
L_{0}^{2} & L_{1}^{2} & \cdots & L_{n-1}^{2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
L_{0}^{t-1} & L_{1}^{t-1} & & \ldots L_{n-1}^{t-1}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
g\left(L_{0}\right)^{-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & g\left(L_{1}\right)^{-1} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & g\left(L_{n-1}\right)^{-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Irreducible binary Goppa codes

Usual case: $g(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$, irreducible, $q=2^{m}$.

Because:

- We have $d \geq 2 t+1$ in this case, so we can correct up to $t$ errors.
- There are efficient decoders for these irreducible binary Goppa codes (Patterson).
- For general Goppa codes, we have no decoders correcting more than $\mathrm{t} / 2$ errors.


## Separable binary Goppa codes

- Again: $g(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X], q=2^{m}$
- But now $g(X)$ is assumed to have no multiple roots:

$$
g(X)=\left(X-z_{0}\right) \ldots\left(X-z_{t-1}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}[X]
$$

- A binary Goppa code whose $g(X)$ has no multiple roots is called a separable Goppa code.


## A striking property

It turns out that for separable Goppa codes we have:

$$
G O_{2}(L, g)=G O_{2}\left(L, g^{2}\right)
$$

- That means: $g(X)$ and $g(X)^{2}$ generate the same space!


## It's a kind of magic

Strategy:

## Public generator matrix $G$ is based on $g(X)$.

## Decoder $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is based on $g(X)^{2}$.

Remember:

- Then $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{G}}$ can correct up to $2 t$ errors.
- Breaking a system based on $G$ resp. $g(X)$ is not enough!

We will see that in more detail soon.

## Parity check matrix for separable binary Goppa codes

## Theorem (Tzeng, Zimmermann, 1975)

- $g(X)=\left(X-z_{0}\right) \ldots\left(X-z_{t-1}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}[X]$
- without multiple zeros
- $\mathbf{L}=\left\{L_{0}, \ldots, L_{n-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}: g\left(L_{i}\right) \neq 0\right\}$
- admits a parity check matrix of the following form:

$$
H(z, L)=\left(H_{i j}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{1}{z_{0}-L_{0}} & \frac{1}{z_{0}-L_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{z_{0}-L_{n-1}} \\
\frac{1}{z_{1}-L_{0}} & \frac{1}{z_{1}-L_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{z_{1}-L_{n-1}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\frac{1}{z_{t-1}-L_{0}} & \frac{1}{z_{t-1}-L_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{z_{t-1}-L_{n-1}}
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{t \times n}
$$

## Reminder: Cauchy matrices

- $\mathbf{z}=\left(z_{0}, \ldots, z_{t-1}\right) \in \mathbb{F}^{t}$, pairwise distinct
- $\mathbf{L}=\left(L_{0}, \ldots, L_{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{F}^{n}$, pairwise distinct
- $\mathbf{z} \cap \mathbf{L}=\emptyset$

$$
C(z, L)=\left(C_{i j}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{1}{z_{0}-L_{0}} & \frac{1}{z_{0}-L_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{z_{0}-L_{n-1}} \\
\frac{1}{z_{1}-L_{0}} & \frac{1}{z_{1}-L_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{z_{1}-L_{n-1}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\frac{1}{z_{t-1}-L_{0}} & \frac{1}{z_{t-1}-L_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{z_{t-1}-L_{n-1}}
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{F}^{t x n}
$$

## H is a Cauchy matrix!

A binary separable Goppa code allows a compact representation, but:

- Code structure is apparent.
- Usual hiding tricks destroy the Cauchy structure.
- Compact representation doesn't seem to be suitable: What about $m G$ with insufficient memory?


## Let's summarize

- Our goal: reduce the public key sizes of McEliece.
- We tried with binary separable Goppa codes.
- They have a striking property: $G O_{2}(L, g)=G O_{2}\left(L, g^{2}\right)$
- They admit a parity check matrix in Cauchy form, but it's not obvious how to perform $m G$ efficiently.
- Looks like a dead end, but we will see how to use it soon.


## Part IV

## The (quasi-) dyadic world

## The (quasi-) dyadic world

- Dyadic matrices are highly structured.
- $m G$ highly efficient in case $G$ is dyadic.
- We will see below how to connect dyadic to Cauchy matrices.


## Dyadic matrices

Signature $\mathbf{h}:=\left(h_{0}, \ldots, h_{n-1}\right)=(A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H)$

Highly regular, always $2^{n} x 2^{n}$

$$
\Delta(\mathbf{h}):=\left(h_{i \oplus j}\right):=\begin{array}{cccccccc}
A & B & C & D & E & F & G & H \\
B & A & D & C & F & E & H & G \\
C & D & A & B & G & H & E & F \\
D & C & B & A & H & G & F & E \\
E & F & G & H & A & B & C & D \\
F & E & H & G & B & A & D & C \\
G & H & E & F & C & D & A & B \\
H & G & F & E & D & C & B & A
\end{array}
$$

Dyadic matrices are symmetric, even point-symmetric.

## Sylvester-Hadamard matrices

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field with $\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2, r=2^{k}$.

- The Sylvester-Hadamard matrix $\mathbf{H}_{k} \in \mathbb{F}^{r x r}$ is recursively defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{H}_{0} & =[1] \\
\mathbf{H}_{k} & =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{H}_{k-1} & \mathbf{H}_{k-1} \\
\mathbf{H}_{k-1} & -\mathbf{H}_{k-1}
\end{array}\right], k>0 \\
\mathbf{H}_{0}^{-1} & =[1] \\
\mathbf{H}_{k}^{-1} & =\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{H}_{k-1}^{-1} & \mathbf{H}_{k-1}^{-1} \\
\mathbf{H}_{k-1}^{-1} & -\mathbf{H}_{k-1}^{-1}
\end{array}\right], k>0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Sylvester-Hadamard matrices: examples

To give some examples:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{H}_{0}=[+1] \\
& \mathbf{H}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
+1 & +1 \\
+1 & -1
\end{array}\right] \\
& \mathbf{H}_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
+1 & +1 & +1 & +1 \\
+1 & -1 & +1 & -1 \\
+1 & +1 & -1 & -1 \\
+1 & -1 & -1 & +1
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

etc.

## Some properties

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field with $\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$.
Let $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{F}^{r}, \mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{F}^{r x r}$, dyadic, where $r=2^{k}$.

- $\mathbf{H}_{k}^{-1} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{H}_{k}$ is diagonal.
- $\mathbf{H}_{k}^{-1} \Delta(\mathbf{h}) \mathbf{H}_{k}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathbf{h} \mathbf{H}_{k}\right)$


## Dyadic convolution of two vectors

Let $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}^{r}, r=2^{k}, \operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$. The (unique) $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{F}^{r}$ with

$$
\Delta(\mathbf{u}) \Delta(\mathbf{v})=\Delta(\mathbf{w})
$$

is called the dyadic convolution of $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathbf{u} \mathbf{H}_{k}\right) \operatorname{diag}\left(\mathbf{v} \mathbf{H}_{k}\right) & =\left(\mathbf{H}_{k}^{-1} \Delta(\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{H}_{k}\right)\left(\mathbf{H}_{k}^{-1} \Delta(\mathbf{v}) \mathbf{H}_{k}\right) \\
& =\mathbf{H}_{k}^{-1} \Delta(\mathbf{w}) \mathbf{H}_{k} \\
& =\operatorname{diag}(\underbrace{\mathbf{w} \mathbf{H}_{k}}_{=: \mathbf{z}})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Longrightarrow \mathbf{w}=\mathbf{z} \mathbf{H}_{k}^{-1}=2^{-k} \mathbf{z} \mathbf{H}_{k}
$$

## Dyadic convolution: what for?

Let $\mathbf{m}$ a message, $G=\Delta(\mathbf{g})$ a dyadic generator matrix.

- Compute $\hat{\mathbf{m}} \leftarrow \mathbf{m H}_{k}$.
- Compute $\hat{\mathbf{g}} \leftarrow \mathbf{g H}_{k}$.
- Compute $\hat{\mathbf{c}} \leftarrow \hat{\mathbf{m}} . * \hat{\mathbf{g}}$ (Hadamard product: $\hat{c}_{i}=\hat{m}_{i} \hat{g}_{i} \forall i$ )
- Compute $\mathbf{c} \leftarrow \hat{\mathbf{c}} \mathrm{H}_{k}$.
- Finally $\mathbf{c} \leftarrow 2^{-k} \mathbf{c}$

For $m G$ only the first row of $G$ is needed.
$\mathbf{m H}_{k}$ can be done efficiently by the so-called Fast Walsh-Hadamard transform (FWHT).

## The Fast Walsh-Hadamard transform (FWHT)

Let $r=2^{k}$ with $k=3$.

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
x_{0} \\
x_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\dot{x}_{7}
\end{array}\right) \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{H}_{2} & \mathbf{H}_{2} \\
\mathbf{H}_{2} & -\mathbf{H}_{2}
\end{array}\right]}_{\mathbf{H}_{3}}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \\
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right) \mathbf{H}_{2}+\left(\begin{array}{l}
x_{4} \\
x_{5} \\
x_{6} \\
x_{7} \\
x_{7}
\end{array}\right) \mathbf{H}_{2}]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1,0} \\
\left(\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \\
x_{1,1} \\
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{1,2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right) \mathbf{H}_{2}-\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{x}_{4} \\
x_{5} \\
x_{6} \\
x_{7}
\end{array}\right) \mathbf{H}_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1,4} \\
x_{1,5} \\
x_{1,6} \\
x_{1,7}
\end{array}\right) \mathbf{H}_{2}]
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1, i}=x_{i}+x_{i+4} \\
& x_{1, i+4}=x_{i}-x_{i+4}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $i \in\{0,1,2,3\}$. Recurse. Complexity: $\mathcal{O}(r \log (r))$.

## FWHT visualized



## Let's summarize

Dyadic matrices: The pros

- Very compact representation: the first row (= signature) is enough.
- Efficient $m G$ even in compact representation.

Dyadic matrices: The cons

- We still don't how to base a crypto system on them.
- We always made the assumption $\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$. But in $\operatorname{cryptography}$, we would like to have $\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F})=2$.

Part V

QD

## QD

By Rafael Misoczki and Paulo S.L.M. Barreto.

Idea
Connect binary separable Goppa codes and dyadic matrices.

That means: look for dyadic Cauchy matrices.

## Can we have the cake and eat it, too?

## Theorem (P. Barreto)

A dyadic Cauchy matrix is only possible over fields $\mathbb{F}_{q}, q=2^{m}$. In this case, $H=\left(H_{i j}\right)$ can be generated by any suitable $h \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{n}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{h_{i \oplus j}} & =\frac{1}{h_{i}}+\frac{1}{h_{j}}+\frac{1}{h_{0}} \\
z_{i} & =\frac{1}{h_{i}}+\omega \\
L_{j} & =\frac{1}{h_{j}}+\frac{1}{h_{0}}+\omega \\
H_{i j} & =h_{i \oplus j}=\frac{1}{z_{i} \oplus L_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ just arbitrary.

## Putting the pieces together

Solving the equations above gives us:

- Separable Goppa codes for security.
- Compact McEliece keys.
- Efficiency via FWHT.

Any drawbacks? Yes!

- The theorem above requires $\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F})=2$ !
- Cryptosystems cannot securely defined by parity-check matrices in Cauchy form.

We will see now how to address these points.

## Oh no: $\operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F})=2!$

- FWHT \& dyadic convolution $\Rightarrow \operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$
- Dyadic Cauchy matrix $\Rightarrow \operatorname{char}(\mathbb{F})=2$

Trick by Daniel Bernstein:

- Just lift FWHT to characteristic 0

Remember:

$$
\mathbb{F}_{2^{m}} \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})[X] / P(X)
$$

for some irreducible $P(X)$ of degree $m$.

## The trick

- $\mathbb{F}_{2^{m}} \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})[X] / P(X) \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{Z}[X]$

In our case

- $\mathbb{F}_{2} \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}) \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{Z}$

Do FWHT now in $\mathbb{Z}$ and reduce the end result modulo 2.

- Remember multiplying two binary matrices for the first time? Chances are good you applied the trick.
- Drawback of the trick: arithmetic in $\mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow$ more memory.


## The trick: an example

Usually in $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ with only $A N D$ and $X O R$ :

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right] *\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Viewed in $\mathbb{Z}$ :

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right] *\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]=\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
5 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 \\
3 & 3 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\
4 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 2 \\
3 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\
3 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]}_{\text {reduce } \bmod 2}
$$

## Quasi-dyadic matrices

- Fully dyadic parity-check matrices not an option.
- Next better level: quasi-dyadic matrices.


## Still quite regular

Not necessarily square, consisting of dyadic submatrices of the same dimensions: quasi-dyadic.

| $A$ | $B$ | $C$ | $D$ | $E$ | $F$ | $G$ | $H$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $B$ | $A$ | $D$ | $C$ | $F$ | $E$ | $H$ | $G$ |
| $I$ | $J$ | $L$ | $M$ | $N$ | $P$ | $Q$ | $R$ |
| $J$ | $I$ | $M$ | $L$ | $P$ | $N$ | $R$ | $Q$ |

## QD: Assumptions

Choose the following data:

- $p=2^{s}$ for some $s$
- $q=p^{d}=2^{m}$ for some $d$ with $m=d s$
- A code length $n$
- A design number of correctable errors $t$ with $n=l t$ for some $l>d$


## QD: Select

- Let $N=k t$ for some $k$
- $N \gg n$
- $N \leq q / 2$
- QD generates a fully dyadic $N x N$ parity-check matrix $H$ for a binary Goppa code $G O_{q}(L, g)$
- QD selects a submatrix $\hat{H} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{t \times N}$


## QD: Select and permute

$$
\hat{H}:=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
B_{0} & \mid & \ldots & \mid & B_{N / t-1}
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{t \times N}
$$

- Each $B_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{t \times t}$ and dyadic
- Select randomly $l$ distinct blocks $B_{i_{0}}, \ldots, B_{i_{l-1}} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{t \times t}$
- Select $l$ dyadic permutations $\Pi^{j_{0}}, \ldots, \Pi^{j_{-1}} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{t \times t}$

$$
\hat{H}^{\prime}:=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
B_{i_{0}} \Pi^{i} & \mid & \ldots & B_{i_{l-1}} \Pi^{i_{L-1}}
\end{array}\right] \in\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{t \times t}\right)^{l}
$$

## QD: Select, permute and scale

- Aside: dyadic permutation

A dyadic matrix $\Pi^{i} \in \Delta\left(\{0,1\}^{n}\right)$, whose signature is the ith row of the identity matrix.

- Build $\hat{H}^{\prime} \Sigma$ and co-trace it.
- Co-tracing $\hat{H}^{\prime} \Sigma$ means to map it to binary.
- But note: $\hat{H}^{\prime} \Sigma$ is quasi-dyadic, and that structure shall be maintained.


## QD: Co-Trace

Example:

- Let $u_{0}=(1,1,0,1), u_{1}=(0,1,0,1) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4}}$
- $T=\left[\begin{array}{ll}u_{0} & u_{1} \\ u_{1} & u_{0}\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{4}}^{2 \times 2}$ and dyadic


## QD: Co-Trace continued

- Usual trace construction would be wrong:

$$
T=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
u_{0} & u_{1} \\
u_{1} & u_{0}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
(1,1,0,1) & (0,1,0,1) \\
(0,1,0,1) & (1,1,0,1)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Quasi-dyadic structure is lost.


## QD: Co-Trace continued

- Interleave instead:



## QD: Final step

- Let $H^{\prime}:=T_{d}^{\prime}\left(\hat{H}^{\prime} \Sigma\right)$ denote the co-traced $\hat{H}^{\prime} \Sigma$
- $H^{\prime} \in\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}^{t x t}\right)^{d x l}$
- Finally, let $H$ denote $H^{\prime}$ in systematic form.
- The parity-check matrix $H$ defines code of length $n$ and dimension $k=n-d t$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$
- It is still quasi-dyadic with $t x t$ dyadic submatrices.
- It can be stored in an area a factor $t$ smaller than a general matrix.


## QD: Some remarks

The QD construction has some drawbacks:

- Complicated.
- Highly dependent on chosen parameters.
- $N>n$

All those points will be addressed later with the FQD construction.

## Part VI

## Decoding Goppa codes as alternant codes

## Decoding Goppa codes as alternant codes

- Goppa codes are special alternant codes.
- Therefore, alternant decoders can decode Goppa codes.


## Decoding Goppa codes: The setup

- $r=\operatorname{deg}(g(X))$, even
- received codeword: $u \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n}$
- $u=c+e$
- error positions: $1 \leq i_{0}, \ldots, i_{t-1} \leq n$, where $t \leq r / 2$
$e$


C

$u$


## Some definitions

Error locator polynomial:

$$
\sigma(X)=\prod_{j=0}^{t-1}\left(1-L_{i j} X\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}[X]
$$

- Property: $\sigma\left(L_{i j}\right)=0 \Longleftrightarrow e_{j i} \neq 0$

Error evaluator polynomial:

$$
\omega(X)=\sum_{k=0}^{t-1} g\left(L_{i_{k}}\right)^{-1} \prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ j \neq k}}^{t-1}\left(1-L_{i_{j}}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{m}}[X]
$$

## The syndrome

- Find the $L_{i}$, which are the roots of $\sigma(X)$.
- Because the $L_{i}$ are pairwise distinct, they give the error locations.

$$
u H^{T}=e H^{T}=\left[0 \ldots e_{i_{0}} \ldots e_{i_{t-1}} \ldots 0\right] H^{T}=\left[S_{0} \ldots S_{r-1}\right]
$$

Syndrome

$$
S(X):=\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} S_{k} X^{k}
$$

## The key equation

- $\sigma(X)$ and $\omega(X)$ are related by the so-called key equation:


## Theorem

$$
\omega(X)=\sigma(X) S(X) \bmod X^{r}
$$

Solving the key equation gives $\sigma(X)$. It is usually done by the extended Euclidian algorithm.

## Reminder: Extended Euclidian Algorithm

- Let $f(X), h(X) \in \mathbb{F}[X]$ with $\operatorname{deg}(h(X)) \leq \operatorname{deg}(f(X))$
- Let $r_{-1}(X):=f(X)$ and $r_{0}:=h(X)$
$r_{-1}(X)=q_{1}(X) r_{0}(X)+r_{1}(X) \quad \operatorname{deg}\left(r_{1}\right)<\operatorname{deg}\left(r_{0}\right)$
$r_{k-2}(X)=q_{k}(X) r_{k-1}(X)+r_{k}(X) \quad \operatorname{deg}\left(r_{k}\right)<\operatorname{deg}\left(r_{k-1}\right)$
$r_{s-1}(X)=q_{s+1}(X) r_{s}(X)$


## Euclidian Algorithm continued

Theorem

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
r_{k}(X)=a_{k}(X) f(X)+b_{k}(X) h(X) & (k \geq-1) \\
a_{k}(X)=-q_{k}(X) a_{k-1}(X)+a_{k-2}(X) & (k \geq 1) \\
b_{k}(X)=-q_{k}(X) b_{k-1}(X)+b_{k-2}(X) & (k \geq 1)
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{-1}(X)=1, \quad a_{0}(X)=0 \\
& b_{-1}(X)=1, \quad b_{0}(X)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Applying the Euclidian Algorithm

Apply the algorithm until $\operatorname{deg}\left(r_{k}\right)<r / 2$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(r_{k-1}\right) \geq r / 2$.

## Theorem

- $\sigma(X)=b_{k}(0)^{-1} b_{k}(X)$
- $\omega(X)=b_{k}(0)^{-1} r_{k}(X)$


## Let's summarize

- Step 1: Find the syndrome polynomial $S(X)=\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} S_{k} X^{k}$
- Step 2: Solve the key equation $\omega(X)=\sigma(X) S(X) \bmod X^{r}$
- Step 3: Find the $L_{i j}$ with $\sigma\left(L_{i j}\right)=0$
- Step 4: Correct the received vector u


## Separable binary Goppa codes again

- ${G O_{2}}_{2}(L, g)=G O_{2}\left(L, g^{2}\right)$
- The canonical parity-check matrix $H_{0}$ based on $g(X)^{2}$ is this:

$$
H_{0}=V D=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
L_{0}^{1} & L_{1} & \cdots & L_{n-1} \\
L_{0}^{2} & L_{1}^{2} & \cdots & L_{n-1}^{2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
L_{0}^{t-1} & L_{1}^{t-1} & \cdots & \cdots L_{n-1}^{t-1} \\
L_{0}^{t} & L_{1}^{t} & & \cdots L_{n-1}^{t} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
L_{0}^{2 i-1} & L_{1}^{2 i-1} & \cdots L_{n-1}^{2 t-1}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
g\left(L_{0}\right)^{-2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & g\left(L_{1}\right)^{-2} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & g\left(L_{n-1}\right)^{-2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## The magic again

- ${G O_{2}}_{2}(L, g)=G O_{2}\left(L, g^{2}\right)$

That means:

- $H_{0}$ is related to any other parity-check matrix $H$ by an equation of the form:

$$
H_{0}=S H
$$

Note:

- An alternant decoder based on $H_{0}$ can correct up to $\mathbf{2} * \boldsymbol{\operatorname { d e g }}(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X}))$ errors.
- An alternant decoder based on $H$ only up to $\operatorname{deg}(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X}))$ errors.


## The strategy again

- ${G O_{2}}_{2}(L, g)=G O_{2}\left(L, g^{2}\right)$

Public parity-check matrix $H$ is based on $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X})$

Decoder $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is based on $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X})^{2}$ resp. on $\mathbf{H}_{0}$

## Adapting the decoder

- $H_{0}=S H$ for some regular matrix $S$

Once we have $S$, we work with $S H$ instead of $H$ :

$$
u(S H)^{T}=e(S H)^{T}=\left[0 \ldots e_{i_{0}} \ldots e_{i_{t-1}} \ldots 0\right](S H)^{T}=\left[S_{0}^{\prime} \ldots S_{r-1}^{\prime}\right]
$$

- The decoder can correct now up to 2 r errors without any change.


## Under attack

- ${G O_{2}}_{2}(L, g)=G O_{2}\left(L, g^{2}\right)$
- Faugère et al. launched an attack against QD (2010)
- The attack failed!
- Their alternant decoder could only correct $t / 2$ errors.


## Note:

- The attack might work, if the private decoder were based on $H$ and not on $H_{0}$ !


## Warning

You might ask how a generalized Cauchy matrix for $g(X)^{2}$ might look like.

$$
C(z, L)=\left(C_{i j}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{1}{z_{0}-L_{0}} & \frac{1}{z_{0}-L_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{z_{0}-L_{n-1}} \\
\frac{1}{\left(z_{0}-L_{0}\right)^{2}} & \frac{1}{\left(z_{0}-L_{1}\right)^{2}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\left(z_{0}-L_{n-1}\right)^{2}} \\
\frac{1}{z_{1}-L_{0}} & \frac{1}{z_{1}-L_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{z_{1}-L_{n-1}} \\
\frac{1}{\left(z_{1}-L_{0}\right)^{2}} & \frac{1}{\left(z_{1}-L_{1}\right)^{2}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\left(z_{1}-L_{n-1}\right)^{2}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\frac{1}{z_{t-1}-L_{0}} & \frac{1}{z_{t-1}-L_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{z_{t-1}-L_{n-1}} \\
\frac{1}{\left(z_{t-1}-L_{0}\right)^{2}} & \frac{1}{\left(z_{t-1}-L_{1}\right)^{2}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{\left(z_{t-1}-L_{n-1}\right)^{2}}
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{F}^{2 t \times n}
$$

Do not base $H$ on that. The attack might work!

## Part VII

## Flexible QD

## Flexible QD (FQD)

QD generates quasi-dyadic matrices in Cauchy form, but has restrictions:

- It generates a fully dyadic $N \times N$ matrix, with $N \gg n$
- If $N=2^{m}-t$ this is not good in terms of CFS.

Goal:

- Improve QD: make $n=2^{m}-t$ possible.


## FQD: Step 1

- Generate a $m \times m$ random binary nonsingular matrix $M$



## FQD: Step 2

- Generate a uxu dyadic matrix using the upper part of M

$$
z_{i_{0}}=\bigoplus_{b=0}^{\log _{2}(u)-1} i_{0}[b] \delta_{b} \quad\left(0 \leq i_{0}<u\right)
$$

- $i_{0}[b]$ denotes the $(\mathrm{b}+1)$-th bit of $i$ in binary form
- $\delta_{b}$ denotes the $(\mathrm{b}+1)$-th row from the top of M $\left(0 \leq b<\log _{2}(u)-1\right)$


## FQD: Step 3

- Choose distinct $\Delta_{i_{1}}^{\prime}$ and $\Delta_{j_{1}}$ from a linear combination of the bottom $m-\log _{2}(u)$ rows of M
- Shift now the previous $u x u$ dyadic matrix by $\Delta_{i_{1}}^{\prime}$ and $\Delta_{j_{1}}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
z_{i_{1} u+i_{0}}=z_{i_{0}} \oplus \Delta_{i_{1}}^{\prime} & \left(1 \leq i_{1}<\lceil t / u\rceil\right) \\
L_{j_{1} u+j_{0}}=z_{j_{0}} \oplus \Delta_{j_{1}} & \left(0 \leq j_{1}<\lceil n / u\rceil\right)
\end{array}
$$

The next slide will give an idea what is going on ...

## FQD: Step 4

| $z_{0}$ | $z_{1}$ | $\ldots$ | $z_{u-1}$ | $\ldots$ | $z_{0} \oplus \Delta_{j_{1}}$ | $z_{1} \oplus \Delta_{j_{1}}$ | $\ldots$ | $z_{u-1} \oplus \Delta_{j_{1}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$\ldots$

## FQD: Step 5

Note that $z_{0}=0$, so we have:

| $z_{0}$ | $z_{1}$ | $\ldots$ | $z_{u-1}$ | $L_{0}$ | $L_{1}$ | $\ldots$ | $L_{u-1}$ | $L_{u}$ | $\ldots$ | $L_{n-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $z_{1}$ | $z_{0}$ | $\ldots$ | $z_{u-2}$ | $L_{1}$ | $L_{0}$ | $\ldots$ | $L_{u-2}$ | $L_{u+1}$ | $\ldots$ | $L_{n-2}$ |
| $z_{3}$ | $z_{2}$ | $\ldots$ | $z_{u-3}$ | $L_{2}$ | $L_{3}$ | $\ldots$ | $L_{u-3}$ |  | $\ldots$ |  |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |  | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ldots$ |  |  |  | $\vdots$ |
| $z_{u-1}$ | $z_{u-2}$ | $\ldots$ | $z_{0}$ | $L_{u-1}$ | $L_{u-2}$ | $\ldots$ | $L_{0}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |  | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ldots$ |  |  |
| $z_{0} \oplus \Delta_{i_{1}}$ | $z_{1} \oplus \Delta_{i_{1}}$ | $\ldots$ | $z_{u-1} \oplus \Delta_{i_{1}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $z_{1} \oplus \Delta_{i_{1}}$ | $z_{0} \oplus \Delta_{i_{1}}$ |  | $z_{u-2} \oplus \Delta_{i_{1}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $z_{3} \oplus \Delta_{i_{1}}$ | $z_{2} \oplus \Delta_{i_{1}}$ | $\ldots$ | $z_{u-3} \oplus \Delta_{i_{1}}$ | $\ldots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\vdots$ |  |  | $\vdots$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $z_{u-1} \oplus \Delta_{i_{1}}$ | $z_{u-2} \oplus \Delta_{i_{1}}$ | $\ldots$ | $z_{0} \oplus \Delta_{i_{1}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\vdots$ |  |  | $\vdots$ |

## FQD: Step 6

Having seen those slides, maybe you believe that the final matrix $H=\left(h_{i j}\right)$ is quasi-dyadic then:

$$
H=\left(h_{i j}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{z_{i} \oplus L_{j}}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\frac{1}{z_{0} \oplus L_{0}} & \frac{1}{z_{0} \oplus L_{1}} & \frac{1}{z_{0} \oplus L_{2}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{z_{0} \oplus L_{n-1}} \\
\frac{1}{z_{1} \oplus L_{0}} & \frac{1}{z_{1} \oplus L_{1}} & \frac{1}{z_{1} \oplus L_{2}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{z_{1} \oplus L_{n-1}} \\
\frac{1}{z_{2} \oplus L_{0}} & \frac{1 \oplus}{z_{2} \oplus L_{1}} & \frac{1}{z_{2} \oplus L_{2}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{z_{2} \oplus L_{n-1}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\frac{1}{z_{t-1} \oplus L_{0}} & \frac{1}{z_{t-1} \oplus L_{1}} & \frac{1}{z_{t-1} \oplus L_{2}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{z_{t-1} \oplus L_{n-1}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

If not, the next slide contains a sketch of the calculations.

## FQD: Sketch of proof

- Using $z_{0}=0 ; z_{i} \oplus z_{j}=z_{i \oplus j} ; \Delta_{i_{1}} \oplus \Delta_{j_{1}}=\Delta_{i_{1} \oplus j_{1}}$; we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{h_{i j}} & =\underbrace{z_{i_{1} u+i_{0}}}_{i} \oplus \underbrace{L_{j_{1} u+j_{0}}}_{j}=\left(z_{i_{0}} \oplus \Delta_{i_{1}}\right) \oplus\left(z_{j_{0}} \oplus \Delta_{j_{1}}\right)= \\
& =\left(z_{i_{0}} \oplus z_{j_{0}}\right) \oplus\left(\Delta_{i_{1}} \oplus \Delta_{j_{1}}\right)
\end{aligned}=z_{i_{0} \oplus j_{0}} \oplus \Delta_{i_{1} \oplus j_{1}}=
$$

## FQD: Remarks

- $t \leq u \Rightarrow z_{i_{1} u+i_{0}}$ can be ignored
- $\lceil t / u\rceil u>t \Rightarrow$ remove $\lceil t / u\rceil u$ - $t$ rows
- $\lceil n / u\rceil u>n \Rightarrow$ remove $\lceil n / u\rceil u-n$ colums
- Size: $t x n$
- Now like in QD: co-tracing and systematic form.

Part VIII
HyMES

## HyMES (Hybrid McEliece Scheme)

HyMES is a hybrid implemenation of the McEliece scheme by
Bhaskar Biswas and Nicolas Sendrier. It has two modifications compared to the original McEliece scheme:

Higher information rate by putting some data into the error pattern (similar to Niederreiter).

Reduced public key size by using a generator matrix in echelon form.

## HyMES with QD/FQD

We use HyMES at the moment as our basic platform, but we are about to include some modifications.

- Compact McEliece keys with based on QD/FDQ.

Future improvements might be:

- Documentation in a "literate programming" style.
- Assembler routines for speed.
- Ranking/Unranking algorithm.


## Part IX

## Anything else?

## Summary

- Compact McEliece keys are possible with binary separable Goppa codes.
- These codes allow for a compact representation in form of quasi-dyadic parity-check matrices.
- The compact representation of the parity-check matrices can be used 'as-is'.
- At the moment, there is no attack known against McEliece based on quasi-dyadic codes.
- Special thanks to Paulo Barreto for a lot of helpful mails.


## Mission accomplished?

Well, there are people who also believed it ...
... but at the moment things are looking good!

## Questions?

## Material used and further reading

- Paulo S.L.M Barreto

The fast Walsh-Hadamard transform (Draft)

- Paulo S.L.M Barreto Post-Quantum Cryptography
- Rafael Misoczki and Paulo S.L.M. Barreto Compact McEliece Keys from Goppa Codes
- Kazukuni Kobara

Flexible Quasi-Dyadic Code-Based Public-Key Encryption and Signature

- Jean-Charles Faugère, Ayoub Otmani, Ludovic Perret, and Jean-Pierre Tillich
Algebraic Cryptanalysis of McEliece Variants with Compact Keys
- Steven Roman, Coding and Information Theory
- F.J. MacWilliams, N.J.A. Sloane

The Theory of Error-correcting Codes

- Robert J. McEliece, The Theory of Information and Coding, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications
- K.K. TZENG, K. Zimmermann On Extending Goppa Codes to Cyclic Codes
- Dana Randall Efficient Generation of Random Nonsingular Matrices

